THE FATHERHOOD OF THE PRIEST

Ву

THOMAS E. D. HENNESSY, O.P.

THE ROSARY PRESS, Inc. Somerset, Ohio

SIENA CULLLAL LIBINARY

Revisores Ordinis:

VERY REVEREND MICHAEL BROWNE, O.P., S.T.M.
VERY REVEREND PAUL PHILIPPE, O.P., S.T.M.

Imprimi Potest:

VERY REVEREND T. S. McDermott, O.P., S.T.Lr., Prior Provincialis

Imprimatur:

Most Reverend Michael J. Ready, D.D.

Bishop of Columbus

COPYRIGHT 1950

By the Dominican Fathers, Province of Saint Joseph

PRINTED BY THE ROSARY PRESS, INC., SOMERSET, OHIO

DEDICATED

TO THE

FATHER OF OUR LORD JESUS CHRIST
FROM WHOM ALL FATHERHOOD IN HEAVEN AND
ON EARTH IS NAMED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD
CHAPTER 1: THE ANALOGICAL BASIS FOR THE CONCEPT OF FATHERHOOD
CHAPTER II: THE APPLICATION OF THE NAME FATHERHOOD
CHAPTER III: THE FATHERHOOD OF CHRIST
CHAPTER IV: THE PRIEST'S PRIMARY CLAIM TO FATHERHOOD —PARTICIPATION IN THE DIVINE PATERNITY
CHAPTER V: THE PRIEST'S SECONDARY CLAIM TO FATHERHOOD —THE SACRAMENTAL MINISTRY
CONCLUSION
BIBLIOGRAPHY

FOREWORD

There is a growing curiosity in the world today concerning the reason why the Catholic priest is called father. There are many outside the Church who vehemently deny the priest's claim to father hood, whereas many of the faithful, while they readily assent to the paternity of the priest, are unable to offer any solid arguments in favor of their position. The principal reason why both Catholics and non-Catholics cannot understand the reason for regarding the priest as a father is because they fail to understand the notion of fatherhood. Some have gone so far as to maintain that fatherhood is a relation to be found in God alone; and these object to the application of the term to others in view of the words of Christ, "Call none your father upon earth: for one is your father, who is in heaven." This objection, of course, totally disregards the context in which Christ's words were spoken. Our divine Lord does not restrict paternity to God the Father, although, as we shall see in the course of these pages, it is God alone who is Father in the fullest sense of the word, and the term is applied to others only in so far as they participate in His paternity. Others are under the impression that fatherhood is the relation of generation in only natural created beings. This materialistic concept rules out not only the fatherhood of the priest, but that of God as well.

An understanding of the solution to this problem has great practical importance, for the relations between priest and people are, to a great extent, determined by whether or not the priest recognizes the faithful as his children, and the faithful in turn regard the priest as their father. The recognition of this mutual relationship will result not only in a more zealous, understanding priesthood, but also in a

¹ Matt., xxiii, 9.

more enlightened, militant listly. When the priests see in the fashful their own spiritual children when they must nourish, protect and instruct in the supervised militant in the supervised militant control in the process of their subjects. When the supervised with the form of soing, all-embrancy solicitude is manifested in the daily life of the subjects. When we will arise a bend of the timost confidence between them and the faithful which will induce them to bring all their difficults to him as a child to his father; they will ever be running to the priest for that nourishment, encouragement and guidance which are so necessary for existence in the spiritual life. When, as today, however, priests and people lose consciousness of their respective relationships of fathers and children, the closest bond of mixtual confidence between the priesthood and the faithful is thereby relaxed and a distance and diffidence often grows up instead.

Besides the practical importance of this question, it is of great at hororical value in a much as its solution implies an investigation of the most fundamental theological problems together with their iminate relations among one another. In order to understand the priest's claims to fatherhood it is necessary to be acquainted with the Church's teaching on such important doctrines as the Trinity, the forerantion, the efficacy of Christ's Passion, grace and its communication through the scarments, and especially on the Christian priesthood. Moreover, a knowledge of the important philosophical comcept of analogy is of the attorns tenessity.

The precise end we have in view is to show that the priest is trunt at alter and not so-called merely by way of metaphor. As far as we have been able to ascertain, very little has been written previously on this subject. It is true that some of the Fathers of the Church, such as St. John Chrysostom, have, in passing, alluded to the priest's daim to paternity by reason of his sacramental ministry.

² Cf. H. E. MANNING, The Eternal Priesthood (The Newman Bookshop, Westminster, Md.: 1944), pp. 22-23.

FOREWORD

×i

But there seems to be no ex-professo treatment of the subject, certainly not in English.

The method we shall follow is theological. Because of the ana-

logical nature of fatherhood, however, we shall first of all, by way of or premaine, cannine the traditional teaching on analogy, thus establishing a solid basis for the application of the name fatherhood. In the application of the name fatherhood of the application of the name fatherhood of Cod. We shall then the proceed to show that Christ is as fatherhood of Cod. We shall then proceed to show that Christ is as father by reason of High prienthood. From this fact follows the logical conclusion that since the priest bas absarse in the priestodo of Christ, be, by that very fact, participates in the divine paternity. Finally, we shall see that the priest has a second claim to fatherhood by reason of his secarement ministry.

CHAPTER I

THE ANALOGICAL BASIS FOR THE CONCEPT OF FATHERHOOD

An understanding of common notions is based upon a twofold condation: the derivation of names and their application. For the derivation of a name philology provides a history; into its application philosophy introduces an order. Philosophy, however, cannot proceed with precision without some optimace of philological findings, although its subsequent sciurities in applying the name are in no awy restricted to philology.¹

Of the origin of the word "lather" very little is known for certain. The word has the sapect of an agent noun in "faster" and "lather." It is doubtfully referred to by some as a cognate of the Sankrit root PA, meaning "protect" or "keep." Thus in Latin we have the verb pacere, whence are derived the words "pastor" and "resture." Possibly the word is taken from the Anglo-Sacon feton, meaning "to feed," which has the form feton in Middle English. In Modern English this becomes "feed." Whatever the origin of the word "faster," it is one of the terms of intimate relation which occurs with alight changes of form in nearly all the Arysa and Indo-European tongues." All have commonly understood faster/hood to imply some of the most fundamental and intimate relationships among human beings. The very commonness of the name and familiarity of the concept leads many to believe that they understand its meaning and

201. The Felling Encyclopedia, V. 551; also The Century Dictionary, 111, 2153.

¹ Cf. S. THOMAS, Ad Ephesios (In Omnes S. Pauli Apostoli Epistolas Commentario, edit. VII Taurinensis, MARIETTI, Turini, 1939), cap. III, lect. 4.
² Cf. The Jewish Encyclopedia, V. 351; also The Century Dictionary, III,

philosophical application, even without the intricacies of philosophical cal procedures. These procedures in the ordering of common names, white merely logical devices, are nevertheless founded upon reality and integral to theological method. That method, therefore, based upon principles of daith, an arrive at a notion of fatherhood that is theologically sound only by proceeding according to the totality of logical requirements in the ordering of human concepts. In the case of fatherhood this is especially important because, just as it is unertain in to origin, so it is indefinite and not rigidly limited in its application. Fatherhood is not taken in all cases in exactly the same sense; it is not univeal. but analogical.

Because the term fatherhood is analogical it is necessary in its consideration that the notion of analogy and the rules for its use be ever borne in mind. It is not our purpose here to give a detailed account of analogy but merely to point out its nature and to emphasize those aspects of it which are absolutely essential to an understanding of the notion of fatherhood.

The concept of analogy is best clarified by the negative process of the concept of analogy is not univocation, neither is it equivocation. The single process of the concept of the concep

³ CAISTANUS, De Nominum Analogia, (edit. Zammit, Romae, 1934), cap. VI, a. 63: In orquivoci namque rationes illat, putas canis marini et terretris, uno modifica de la companio diverse secundum rationem; et proper hos id quod pracidicat cuit semantino cue, multi modo praedicat de terretri, et e converso; et idee sola voce communius au misso acoulvocati delirge et se.

^{4/16}d, n. 64: In suscious memora acquirecturate dicture et est.

4/16d, n. 64: In suscious reversible, puta minimilitatis in howe et animalitatis in leone, liet et unimero et specie diversase sint, ratione tamen omnino academ usus; ratio enim unime order formino academ usus; ratio enim unime order formino academ usus; ratio enim unime order praedicat animal de homine, dem praedicat omnino de hove, et univocum dicture et superius homines, koue hoverello.

an identity of terminology, but also an identity of the thing signified.

However, terms are often applied to objects which are neither

identical nor completely dissimilar. Such terms are used neither univocally nor equivocally; they are employed analogically. The two or more things to which an analogical term is applied do not participate equally in the nature of the thing which is predicated of each of them.⁵

It is important to recall that analogy is a relation based upon a proportion," it is a comparison, a relationship of two or more things to some one thing. In analogy the same name is predicated of different things partly in the same sense and partly in a different sense: in the same sense because of the reference to the same relation, in a different sense seasue of the different modes of the relation. Thus objects to which terms are applied analogically share in certain of the characteristics of universely and equivocacy. Those things, therefore the characteristics of universely and equivocacy. Those things, therefore the characteristics of universely and equivocacy.

⁶ Ibid. n. 65: In onalogis autem res analogiam fundantes (puta quantitas ut sic se habens ad esse, et substantia ut sic se habens ad esse), licet diversase sint et numero et specie et genere; ratione tamen caedem sunt non omnino, sed proportionaliter; quoniam unius ratio proportionaliter eadem est alteri.

a IV Metaja, lect. 1 edit. Carbala, n. 535: Sed sciendom goad aliquid pracelature de diversi similipilette; quantique quidem accombine particione monitori candiden, et i sue delictro de eiu suivose praedient, siest sainui di equin comition candiden, et i sue delictro de eiu suivose praedient, siest sainui di equin et i sainui contratori con delictro de eiu suivose praedient, siest sainui di editore a sinitalia-l'appandeque, vera escundum raziones quae partien sund diversa et partin non diversa: diversa: diversa ediquiens secondum que diversas habilotinis important, una acten soundom quodi ad iumni aliquid et idem situe diversa habilotinis rederentur; et illud contratori contratori contratori di contratori contrato

Cf. XI Metable, leet 111, p. 2197.

Thence when Aristotle uses the word analogire, St. Thomas often interprets it as propertionalities or scienalium proportionem. Cf. v.g., I Physic., lect. X, n. ?; lect. XII, n. 9; I de Coelo et Mando, lect. XIV, n. 0.3 -4; lect XX, n. 2; II, lect. XI, n. 4; I Metaph, lect. VIII, edit. Cathala, n. 879; De Veritate, a. 2 art 11.

Cf. also J. M. RAMIREZ, O.P., De Analogia secundum Doctrinam Aristotelico-Thomisticam (Extract. ex La Ciencia Tomista, July 1921-Jan. 1922), Marriii 1922 n. 4.

^{*}CARD. DE AGUIRRE, O.S.B. notes this point in the following words: "Analoga autem sunt velut medium quodam inter univoca et pure acquivoca, quoniam participant ab utroque extremo: ab univocis quidem quod importent

fore, are analogical whose name is common and the notion signified by the name is, simply speaking, different and, in some way, the same,?

of the manager and a support of the manager and a support of the manager and a perfection which is shared in different ways and in varying degrees by two or more objects. Such a perfection is to found in its totality by two or more objects. Such a perfection is to found in its totality and the terms of the comparison, a manager would be applied to them in a all the terms of the comparison, a man would be applied to them in a universal and not in an analogical sense. On the other hand, if the universal and not in an analogical sense. On the other hand, if the objects compared in no way participated the same perfection, the imposition of a common name on them would be an equivocation.

Excluding the analogy of inequality, which, as Cajetan¹⁰ points out, scarcely merits the name of analogy, we can distinguish a twofold analogy of The members of this division have come to be known in scholatic terminology as the analogy of proportionality, and the analogy of attribution. This is a strictly metaphysical division in as much as it has for its basis not a member neede of predication but the

veram aliquam coevenientism în re significata per nomen, ab acquivocis autem qual importent diversitatem singliciter admut în libi piop peradiciato seu re pet monen significată; ("Oklospajia Kratinoulii Vene-antiqua zive Disp. Sciettas in Logicus et Heish, Antioretti, disp. 80, sect. 2, n. 13, p. 342, col. 2; Salmatirea, 167).

¹⁰ op. cit., cap. I. n. 7.

³³ Aristole speaks of these different types of analogy in various places, e.g., Analogy of inequality: VII Physic., IV, 24869; Analogy of attribution: I. Ethic., VI, 1098/89; Analogy of proportionality: V Metaph, VI; 1016535; Cf. also St. Thomas coder loo, let 8. n. St.

St. Thomas has make Erropeus and important use of these warious kinds on among although the refer to them by different names; e.g., Alquid delium cannulum analogiam trajiciter; v. vid zecondum intentinente Inntum et non technique analogiam trajiciter; v. vid zecondum intentinente Inntum et non technique analogiam propertion quantum en no habet exe enti in uno ... v. vet zecondum asser et non secundum intentinionen (ident analogia inserqualitetti). e.g. de place per first en de policy gardinature in intentione allouism analogia analogiam propertionalogiam en propertionalogiam et accommentation et allouism et analogia propertionalogiatis), et hoc est quantum enque partificature in intentinione communica propertionalogiatis), et hoc est quantum enque partificature in intentinione communica positi encisione consensional est accidente et de tallouism operete quod natura communica linducia di delium delium entre delium delium estatura delium estatura

diversity arising from the very natures of things, which is of the very escence of analogy. Since a thing is what is through its cause, the metaphysical division of analogy must be founded upon the cause of the similarity which is to be found in things which are diverse in an absolute sense. In as much as causes are either intrinsic or extrinsic, it follows that analogy also must be of two kinds. If the similarity in related things arises from intrinsic causes, it will be found intrinsically, though in its own way, in all the analogates I,I, however, the similarity arises from extrinsic causes, then the analogical concept comes to all except one of the analogates from without, that is, from the one in which it is found intrinsically.

The analogy according to formal intrinsic causality is called the analogy of proportionality. A proportion is a relation of one quantity to another; for example, the relation of two to four is as much as four is twice two.¹³ A proportionality consists in the relation of two proportions to each other.¹⁴

There is also a twofold division of the analogy of proportion-

¹⁸ RAMIREZ, op. cit., p. 47: Fundamentaliter vero considerando illam diversistem, scilicite secundum canara vel rationes seius, dupliciter titudem fieri posse intelligitur: aut secundum causas extriacrea; ita nempe ut ratio analoga mirinaces tantum invenitatur in nose, in allis vero extriacrea chimosoniative, aut secundum causas bristievas, ita quod ratio illa analoga in omnibur analoga informatica di proportionali della contrata de

³³ Dr. Verit., a. 8, art. 1, ad 6. Proportio propole bounds of his est alluf quan habitudo quantitati ad quantitates, acid quad sequinis it im aslari vi tripla; et ce inde translatum est nomen proportions ut habitudo cipitibler et el repetito est proportion nominetur, soci dictior materia case proportions de ad rem alteram proportion nominetur, soci dictior materia case proportions formae in quantum se habet ad formam ut materia qiu, non considerata alliqua habitudine quantitati, et similliori rellectious restratu ses proportionatus ad videndam divinam essentism, in quantum se habet ad ipsam quodamenda ut ad formam intelligibili.

¹⁴ Dr. Nominum Analogio, cap. III. n. 24: Quanvis autem proportio voetur carta habituse unicu quantistiat asi alban, recondum quod diennus quantor diplam proportionen talbere al coli diennus quantistiat asi alban, recondum quod diennus quantistiat asi alban proportionen talbere al coli diennus il asi falbare cete ad quantor quandimediane sex ad tria: utrobigue enim duplap proportio et, etc.: transulturiunt tamen Philosophi proportionia nonem ad comem habitustieme conformatists, commercialistis, etc. Bis consequenter proportionialistem extraordivant ad autemportunity and proportionial proporti

aiity. The first member of this division is analogy in its most restricted sense, and it is had when there is intrinsically realized, in its own way, in each of the members of the analogy a perfection which is signified by a common name. If Since this type of analogy is according to the formal intrinsic cause of things, whatever is predicated by way of it must be in some way essential to the object of which it is periodicated.

A name or term, therefore, is analogical according to this intrinsic analogy if the reality signified by the term is intrinsic to the various objects to which it is applied. Objects thus designated are strictly speaking, essentially diverse, but at the same time they are. in some way, essentially the same. Were they, strictly speaking, essentially different and, in no way, essentially the same, the imposition of a common name upon them would be a pure equivocation; for, it must be remembered that in this kind of analogy the diversity and similarity necessary for analogy must come from the formal intrinsic causes of things. On the other hand, if the objects were, strictly speaking, essentially the same and, in no way, essentially different, the applying of a common name to them would be univocation. The name "animal" when attributed to both man and brute is, strictly speaking, essentially the same, although there is a difference in the mode in which brute and man realize the generic notion of animal; for in the case of the brute, animality constitutes his essence, whereas in man the formal constitutive is rationality. When, however, a common name is applied to objects which are, strictly speaking, essentially diverse, yet the same according to an intrinsic formality univocation is impossible. The basis of predication is no longer identity in genus or species, but similarity in an intrinsic form. Thus, for example, being is found intrinsically in God and intrinsically in a creature, and on this similarity in being the one term can be predicated of both these infinitely diverse objects. That predication, moreover, does not,

¹⁸ RAMIREZ, p. 56; Hace autom ratio analoga intrinsize... secundum propriom significationem reperiatur in comibus terminis proportionalibus utriusquae proportionis, et tunc dicitur analogia proportionalitatis propriae....

strictly speaking, envisage God as the cause of the created being, although it does not exclude God's causality, but merely considers the intrinsic likeness of the two objects.

Since each of the members of an intrinsic analogy are related to a common form in varying degrees, they are not, strictly speaking, essentially the same, but they are essentially the same proportionately. For this reason this analogy according to intrinsic causes, in which the thing signified by a common name is found formally in each of the analozates. has been designated as the analozov or proportionality.

Moreover, the foregoing analogy is called the proper analogy of proportionality to distinguish it from figurative, metaphorical or analogy of improper proportionality. The latter is had when the ratily signified by a common term is found intrinsically secording to its proper signification in only one of the members of the analogy and in the other members only virtually and according to a transferred and improper signification of the common term. Thus when Christ is said to be a limb, there is a futule attempt to designate in Christ an intrinsic form which is proper only to a species of brute. While in an analogy of this type there are present realities which are, strictly

¹⁶ Dr. Nomisson Analogia, cap. 111, n. 25: Fix autem duobus media analogia harte s'edicien tradepurie es peropris. Neutophorie es diporris. Acadepurie es diporris. Anagono morrei illust commune abordite unam habet ratiotens formane, quais in uso analogatorem ticone material de la comparation de l

II RAMIREZ, p. 56-57. Here autem ratio analoga inérairée. **Jemullier escudaira présires significations invisionature in terminia proportionalibra de secudaira présires significations invisionature in terminia proportionalità proportionalità monaphariera, et p. ; des ute e label to casa déciur maligio proportionalità monaphariera, et p.; des ute e label voitatus qualità ani violation carle devia monaphariera, et p.; des ute e label voitatus qualità ani violation acceptante monaphariera, et p.; des ute e label voitatus qualità ani violationalità della considerationalità della considerationalità della considerationalità della considerationalità della considerationalità della considerationalità considerationalità della considerationalità d

¹⁸ Cf. Summa Theol., I, q. 13, a. 6; q. 33, a. 3.

speaking, essentially diverse, there is no relation of essential similarity speaking, essentially state of the found intrinsically only in hetween them. The form "lion" is to be found intrinsically only in the brute; in no way is it realized in Christ. There is, however, a similarity in the way in which both Christ and the lion act, for the actions of each are characterized by outstanding courage. But Christ's courageous manner of acting does not depend upon the form "lion" as upon an intrinsic cause nor, indeed, upon the brute animal to which this form is intrinsic. In other words, Christ and the lion do not act in a similar manner because of their relation to a common form. The similarity of their modes of operation are purely coincidental, and any relation which Christ and the lion bear to one another as a result of this similar mode of acting can be only a relation fashioned by the human mind without any foundation in reality. Any dependence is of predication rather than of being. When, therefore, the dependence existing between analogates is purely logical, they in no way particinate in a common nature, nor is there any foundation in reality for a dependence of the secondary analogates upon the prime analogate. Hence, when a common term is used to designate by intrinsic analogy objects which, strictly speaking, are essentially diverse and, in no way, essentially the same, the name according to its proper signification can apply to only one of the objects; to the others it is applied in a transferred or a metaphorical sense. For this reason analogy of this kind is called improper or metaphorical.

This metapherical analogy, like the proper analogy of proportionally, is always according to the intrinsic causes of things. Since, however, things are what they are not only by their intrinsic causes but by their extrinsic causes as well, an adequate metaphysical division of analogy demands that these latter be taken into consideration, Analogy according to the extrinsic causes of things is called the snalogy of artisms. Those things are analogous by an analogy of artification whose tame is common and the reality signified by the name is in one of the analogues formulay and in the others extrinsi-

¹⁹ Cf. De Nominum Analogio, cap. II.

cally by way of similitude, which similitude is caused in them by their relationship to the prime analogate.²⁰ In things which are analogous by an analogy of this kind, then, there exists a causal relation of similarity, and, in as much as this relation is numerically one, signifying the same thing in each of the members of the analogy, a common term is used according to its proper signification to predicate this relation of each of the members. Nevertheless, the name used to signify this relation will have a partly different meaning when applied to the various analogates, not because the relation itself is different but because of the different manner in which the relation is found in each of them. The relation is the same: it is its mode which differs. Hence, the analogy of attribution is a formal analogy: for it has as its basis a common relation of similitude, even though this relation is found in the prime analogate intrinsically and in the other members of the analogy by way of extrinsic causality. While the same relation is not realized formally in each of the analogates, the analogy itself is proper.21

Since this is an analogy according to extrinic causes the attributo, which is nothing more than a relation of subject to predicate, may be considered in two ways: according as the objects to which a mane is attributed are derived from a single principle or as they are ordered to a single principle. Under the aspect of origin from a single principle analogy of attribution is applicable in both the order of efficient and material causality. In this instance, of course, material cause is taken in its wide sense as pipicable in the other of a single principle, attribution is applicable in the order of final or even formal extrinis: (I, however, diverse things are considered as ordered as angle principle, attribution is applicable in the order of final or even formal extrinis: causality, Formal extrinic, that is, exemplary causality, is unique. It is not confined to either the aspect of deriva-

²⁰ RAMIREZ, p. 47: Quae dicuntur analoga analogia attributionis sunt illa quorum nomen est commune et ratio significata per nomen est is uso tantum intrinsece et formaliter, in aliis autem extrinsece et denominative ab illo vel ad illud secundum rationem simpliciter diversam.

Cf. De Verit., q. 21, art. 4, corpus et ad 2; q. 1, art. 4. 21 Cf. De Nominum Analogia, loc. cit., p. 11.

tion from a single principle or order to a single principle. Under various aspects it is both; for, the exemplary cause has the aspect of finality as the intention of the agent towards which his activity; is finally as the intention of the agent towards which this activity is according to which the agent seeds to realize his intentional form in according to which the agent seeds to realize in his intentional form in amounts subject? Because of this, attribution according to exemplary causality is at once the most furtificant of all the types of attribution according to extrinsic causes.

There are many examples of these various modes of analogy according to extrinsic causes. Among the most common in theological interature is the use of the word "healthy" to illustrate analogy by way of final cause. The term "healthy" may be used in various sensets: food, medicine, blood and animal are all called healthy. The word "healthy," however, refers to only one thing. It is the same behalth at its found in the animal, that is indicated by the blood, that

^{21.0010} O S T. THOMAS, Pal. Mer. J. P., e. X. J. A. J. ... december of canalization diserved by note at efficient or faither, not be pocifilter or propries of formules, quantum est form a scrintera formulas, sea from informats. The common inter-Position England and the common inter-Position and the common inter-Position and the common inter-Position was designed as the common inter-Position was designed and the common inter-Position interpretation interpretation and the common interpretation interpreta

is promoted by the medicine and that is preserved by the food. All these things are directed to health as to one end and it is in this that their similarity consists.

As an example of many things being compared to one thing as to an efficient principle theologians requestly such that we "medical." A doctor, one who is so gifted and disposed that we do easily acquire the art of medicine, the materials used to the medicine, the instruments employed by the doctor in healing, as in medicine, the instruments employed by the doctor in healing, as in effect the medicine tastel, are designed as "medical." The term "medical" is not applied to all these objects equivocation there is not had a relation to one thing. Nor is the term used univocally because, as is evident, the word "medical" is applied to each of these various objects in a partly different sense; but it is used analogically in as much as all these objects are related to medicine as no me efficient easile.

Analogy by way of material cause is most commonly illustrated by the example of being.²⁶ The word "being" applies first of all to

¹⁸ IV Mengh, Jee, 1. p., 838. Secondo gonit exemplum quando multa companare ad uma seix und aprincipium differen. Alcular dieni dictur medicarium, ut qui label artem medicine, sicui medicas perita. Allquid vero quia est facili artem describera, in considera del presente del

déclur. Soit Jamos comos en décluir per respection ad unus primum. Sed boprimum non et finis ve efficience sier in peacessis exemplis, se subjectum (causa quasi-materialis). Alla soim decentur critis vel este, quis per se balert causa quasi-materialis). Alla soim decentur critis vel este, quis per se labert qui passione si seve projettetes abstantias, teles per se seccioni universitas quasibilitatica. Quaedam autem dicurtur entis, quis untri se di adattation, in contradicare de la compositione de la compositione de la compositione de la contradicare de la compositione de la compositione de la compositione de la El quia correpto terminatir a di privatione, sicur generatis sel forman, conveniment piace destinare privationes formanum substantiblem en este destrut. El serrem qualitates vel accidentis quaedam dicentur entis, quis sent activa vil emtre del solutarità destinativa, este della consideratione della contrata qualitate vel accidentis quaedam dicentur entis, quis sent activa vil em-

substance in as much as substance has being per se. Other things are said to be beings because of their relation to substance. Thus, to passions, properties, generations, corruptions, qualities and negations the term "being" is applied because all these things are referred to substance as to a subject.

Analogy by way of exemplary causality consists in the imposition of a common name upon those things which are produced by way of imitation of another thing in which the perfection signified by the name is realized intrinsically.

If an imitation were perfect, this would arise from the fact that the agents would have lead as his exemplar the form of the thing to be imitated; and then the imitation and the exemplar would be identical. However, when the agent does not have as his exemplar lite form of the exemplar aboutely, but only according to a determined proportion, then the work produced will be more or less imperfect, depending upon how short it falls from the principal exemplar.²⁸

eorum quae ad substantiam habitudinem habent, vel etiam ipsius substantiae, esse dituntur. Unde dicimus quod non ens est non ens. Quod non diceretur nisi negationi aliquo modo esse competeret.

Cf. also De Veritate, q. 2, art. 11, ad 5.

⁵⁶ De Perinte, a. 3, art. 2: ..., In his notem que ad imitationem alterius producuturi, quasdoque di qued alternum initiatur, perfect en limitator i pomor i entire i tuni: intellectus operantis peaconcipiens forman operati, habet ut ideam joam forman en initiatat, porte est illiam est initiatate; quandoque vero quod est ad initiationem alterius, non perfecte initiatur illud; et tune: intellectus operativus am accipit forman en initiatate abundoque ut deam vel exemplar are operanders ad cuns proportione determinata, secundum quam exemplatum a principali exemple deservativa initiaturiur.

It is, at least in part, because of their relation to God by means of such exemplary causality that all things are said to be like to Him by way of a similitude to His essence. Created things do not initiate perfectly the Divine Essence because the divine cascord is not taken absolutely by the drivine intellect as their exemplar, but creatures are produced in the likeness of the divine essence according to a certain proportion or that they imitate it to a greater or least edgere. Diverse things initiate the essence of God in different ways, each in its own manner, since it is proper to each thing to be distinct from another. Therefore, the divine essence as understood in the diverse relations of creatures to it is the idea or exemplant of everything, So while the drivine essence its limited in creature. If the other contents of the contents of the various ways in which His essence is initiated in creature. If

The relations of similarity arising between things which are done by way of initiation are causal relations in as much as the initiations depend upon their exemplar for the likeness they bear to it. Their similation consists in their participation in the perfection of their exemplar, because of which they are, in a way, one with it. Therefore, although an imitation and its exemplar may be essentially diverse, they are in some way the same in as much as they alter a common perfection, which is present in the imitation because of its causal relation to its exemplar. In vitrue of this causal relation of similarity there can be applied to diverse objects a common name in stropers' significants, for regardless of the nature of things in which the relation is found, the relation or the reference is the same in all. It is only the mode of the relation which differs.

A name, then, is used in its proper signification in both the proper analogy of proportionality and in the analogy of attribution. It is only in metaphorical analogy that a term is used in a transferred sense, and hence such analogy is improper. It is true that the

²¹ Ibid., ad 6: Dicendum, quod una prima forma, ad quam omnia reducuntur, est ipsa essentia divina secundum se considerata; ex cujus consideratione divinus intellectus adinvenit, ut ita dicam, diversos modos imitationis ipsius, in quibus uluralitas (deserma consideri).

attribution of a name in both metaphorical analogy and the analogy of attribution is extrinsic, in as much as in both cases the basis of the analogy ledong intrinsically to only one member. This, however, must not lead one to lose sight of the fact that there is a great difference between them; for in the analogy of attribution a causal relation binds the minor members to the prime analogate, whereas in metaphorical analogy there is only an accidental relation of similarity of activity.³⁴

Because the analogy of attribution is not according to intrinsic causality it may be considered a less proper mode of analogical predication than proper proportionality. Yet, it cannot be excluded from the scope of analogy in a proper sense.²⁰ It is far removed from both

²⁰ CI. JOSEPHUS GREDT, O.S.B., Elementa Philosophiae Aristotelico-Thomsinicae, (Herder, Friburgi Brisgovine, 1926), Vol. I, p. 137.

²⁹ J Ethic., ed. Pirotta, lect. 7, nos 95-96: . . . aliquid dici de multis secundum diversas rationes contingit dupliciter. Uno modo secundum rationes omnino diversas non habentes respectum ad unum. Et ista dicuntur acquiroco a cam, quia scilicet casu accidit quod unum nomen unus homo imposuit uni rei, et alius alii rei, ut praecipue patet in diversis hominibus uno nomine nominatis. Alio made unum nomen dicitur de multis secundum rationes diversas non totaliter, sed in aliquo uno convenientes Quandoque quidem in hoc, quod referuntur ad unum principium (secundum causalitatem efficientem), sicut res aliqua dicitur militaris, vel quia est instrumentum militis, sicut gladius, vel quia est tegumentum ejus, sicut lorica, vel quia est vehiculum ejus, sicut equus. Osondoque vero in hoc, quod referuntur ad unum finem (secundum causalitatem finalem), sicut medicina dicitur sana, eo quod est factiva sanitatis, dieta vero eo quod est conservativa sanitatis, urina vero eo quod est sanitatis significativa, Quandoque secundum proportiones diversas ad idem subjectum (secundum causalitatem materialem), sicut qualitas dicitur ens, quia est dispositio per se entis, idest substantiae, quantitas vero eo quod est mensura eiusdem, et sic de aliis, vel secundum unam proportionem ad diversa subjecta (secundum causalitatem formalem intrinsecam). Eamdem enim habent proportionem visus quoad corpus, et intellectus ad animam. Unde sicut visus est potentia organi corporalis, ita etiam intellectus est potentia animae absque participatione corporis.

Sie ergo deil, eod boum dieltur de multis, non secundum rationes penitus diferentes, sicus categories, deue sont a casu acquivoca, sed magis arcundum analogius, idea proportion de candem, inquantum omnia hous dependent a bum primo hostatis primo hostatis primo hostatis primo hostatis primo simulatis primo hostatis primo hostatis primo hostatis primo menti della primo hostatis primo hostatis primo discustimente della primo hostatis primo hostatis primo discustimente della primo hostatis della primo dicuminationi hostatis della primo discustimente della primo dicuminationi hostatis quali sulla primo hostatis della primo dicuminationi hostatis della primo dicuminationi hostatis della primo dicuminationi hostatis della primo hostatistica

analogy of inequality and metaphor, both of which may be included in the true concept of analogy, which is itself analogous. In a truly theological procedure, while, because of the context, either analogy of proportionality or analogy of attribution may re-

In a truly theological procedure, while, because of the context, either analogy of proportionality or analogy of attribution may receive a greater emphasis, neither can be completely excluded. Applying fatherhood to the various subjects in which it may be railized in theology need not be exclusively according to proportionality, but fatherhood may be considered as attributed to various subjects as-cording as they realize, however deficiently, the totality of that notion as found in the prime analogate. The application of the term latherhood in one or another mode of analogy must be based on solid notions of the common fundamental requirements and various modes of fatherhood.

dum analogiam, idest proportionem eamdem, sicut visus est bonum corporis, et intellectus est bonum animae. Ideo hunc tertium modum praefert, quia accipitur secundum honitatem inhaerentem rebus. Primi austem duo modi secundum bonitatem separatum, a qua non ita proprie aliquid denominatur.

CHAPTER II

THE APPLICATION OF THE NAME FATHERHOOD

Because of its analogical nature the term fatherhood admits of various applications. Before attempting to apply this name, however, it is necessary to determine first in what the relationship of fatherbond consists.

According to the traditional teaching of theologians, a father, strictly speaking, is the proper active principle of generation in perfect living beings, while "Fatherhood is the relation of the principle of generation in perfect living beings."

Relation is the name given the order that exists between two things which are is some way referred to one aunther. In every relation we can distinguish the principle or subject which is referred to, and the term to which the subject is referred, and the reason for the reference. This latter is called the foundation of the relation. We have a clear example of a relation in teaching the teacher is the subject of the the relation, while the term is the pupil, the foundation of the relation being the act of teaching.⁵²

non cong the act of teaching."

Generation, in its wider sense, is nothing more than a change from non-existence to existence. However, we are concerned here with the strict meaning of generation which signifies the origin of a living being from a conjoined living principle by way of a similitude

¹ Summa Theol., I, q. 28, a. 4; Relatio autem principii generationis in viventibus perfectis dicitur paternitas.

² Cf. Ibid., aa. 1, 2; also Aristotle, V. Metaph., 15, 1020b26.
³ Ibid., q. 27, a. 2; . . . communiter . . . generatio ninil aliad est quam mutatio de non este ad esse.

of nature in the same species.4 Three things, therefore, are required in order that there be a true generation. First, both the generator and the being generated must be living. Consequently, perspiration and such like things, although they have their origin within a living body. are not properly said to be generated. Secondly, it is required that the generator be conjoined, that is, he must produce the generated being from his own substance. Hence, the first man cannot be said to have been generated in the strict sense because God did not produce him from His own substance, but formed him from the slime of the earth.5 Thirdly, it is required that the one generated proceed from the generator by way of a similitude of nature, and not only by way of generic similitude, but there must be a procession by way of similitude in the same specific nature.4 Moreover, both the subject and term of this procession must be living, knowing beings.7 The principle of such a generation is called a father." the term being the son, while the relation of the father to the son is fatherhood.

^{*}Ibid.; Alio modo, proprie in viventibus; et sic generatio significat originem alicuius viventis a principio vivente coniuncto. Et haec proprie dicitur nativitas. Nos tamen omne buiusmodi dicitur genitum, sed proprie quod procedit secun-dum rationem similitudinis. Unde pilus et capillus non habet rationem geniti et fili, sed solum quod procedit secundum rationem similitudinis; non cuiuscumque, nam vermes qui generantur in animalibus, non habent rationem generationis et filiationis, licet sit similitudo secundum genus; sed requiritur ad rationem talis generationis quod procedat secundum rationem similitudinis in natura eiusdem speciei, sicut homo procedit ab homine, et equus ab equo. In visentibus autem quae de potentia in actum vitae procedant, sicut sunt hemines et animalia, generatio utramque generationem includit. Si autem sit aliquod vivens cuius vita non exeat de potentia in actum, processio, si qua in tali vivente invenitur, excludit omnino primam rationem generationis; sed potest habere rationem generationis quae est propria viventium.

Gen. iii. 7

Summer Throl., loc. cit.; cf. also III. q. 28. a. 1. ad 4um; I, q. 100, a. 1; I-II, q. 81, a. 2; also P.M. Gazzaniga, Proelectiones Theologicae, Tom. III. Dissert, II, Cap. III; also J. Gonzalez, Com. in I bart. D. Thomae, Tom. II,

⁷ ST. THOMAS, Ad Ephenios, Cap III, lect. 4; . . . paternitas est tantum in viventibus et cognoscentibus. 8 Cf. Samma Theol., I, q. 32, a, 2; q. 40, a, 2.

Advisedly, do we refer to the subject of this relation as principal rather than cause. "The word principle signifies only that whence, an other proceeds." But the "... term cause seems to mean distance, and dependence of one form another... "The necessity of the use of the word principle, rather than cause, will be evient as we proceed, particularly in our consideration of fatherbood within the Godhead, in which there is a procession from, but no de-pendence upon, the principle of generation.

A father is said to be the proper active principle in as much as in some imperfect generations, such as human generation, there is required a duality of principles, the one active, the other passive. The proper active principle in such cases is the father, while the maternal principle, although endowed with a certain limited activity, is properly said to be passive.¹³

It is to be noted that the ultimate term of generation is not only the production of a being but also the production of a being in its perfection. "Consequently, when a being which has not yet reached its perfection results from the essential act of generation, the very nature of generation demands that the process continue until the being attains its perfection. This means that the demonstration and discipline of the offspring and all that these imply, such as nonridinent and protection, are related to imperfect generations a integral parts. If the supplying of these necessities, therefore, is proper to the principles of all imperfect generations a integral generation.

⁹ Summa Theol., q. 33, a. 1; . . . nomen principium nihil aliud significat quam id a quo aliquid procedit.

¹⁰ fold., ad lum; ... nomen causa videtur importare diversitatem substantiae, et dependentiam alicuius ab altero.
11 Cl. Summa Theol., I, q. 92, a. 1. The recent theory concerning an equality of active principles in generation is no longer tenable in the light of modern

ity of active mean data in a non-second mean to be light of modern physiological findings. It grows generally admitted by competent scientists that not only is the father the proper active principle in as much as the spermatazon actively penetrates the orum, but also because of the established fact that the spermatazon is gifted with extraordinary powers of horomotion while the ovum is relatively immobile.

Cf. Summa Theol., Suppl., q. 41, a. 1.
 Cf. Ibid., II-II. q. 102, a. 1; Suppl., q. 41, a. 1.

TYPES OF FATHERHOOD

We can distinguish five general types of fasherhood, namely, 1) divine fasherhood within the Godlend; 2) fasherhood of divine adoptice; 3) God's fasherhood for natural creatures; 4) human facterhood; 5) the fasherhood of human adoption. It is also possible to distinguish additional forms of fasherhood, such as nutritive, in tellectual and spiritual paternity. However, the nature of these and the strength of the claim which they hold to the title "fasherhood" will be evident from a consideration of the five general types mentioned above.

In order to determine the precise some in which the term fatherlook is applied to these various relation it is necessary to recall what has been said concerning the analogical basis for the imposition of a name. A name, in its proper aginfication, may be applied to one things which are causally related, either intrinsically or extrinsically, to the reality applied by the name. If this reality is found intrinsically, in its own way, in objects which are, strictly speaking, essemblidy drivers but is none way, essentially the same, then the sainty is predicted analogically of those objects by the analogs of peoper proportionality.

We shall, therefore, first of all, apply the concept of fatherbood, considered solely in the line of intrinsic causality, to the various types of fatherhood. If the relation signified by this concept is realized as an intrinsic form in some members of which it is predicated, then they will be fatherboots in the proper and intrinsically formal sense of the word. If this relation is not found in them intrinsically, then the term found is applied to them only metaphorically, it must be barries in mind, however, that at the moment our consideration of fatherboot is restricted to the line of formal intrinsic causality.

It is a fundamental Catholic dogma that there exists within the Godbead the relation of paternity. By an examination of this relation we shall see that it is properly and formally fatherhood in the fullest sense of the word. God is a father because He truly generates a Son.

In the procession of the Second Person of the Blessed Trinity all the requisites for a generation in the strictest sense are present in the highest possible degree.¹⁴

A knowledge, although necessarily imperfect, of this divine presion is bett had by a comparison of the immunent operations of human and divine intellectual activity. In the process of human thought, a concept proceeds from the mind's knowledge of things. This concept is called the mental word, while the sound which man forms to communicate this concept is called the oral word. ¹⁵ The more deeply the human mind pentertase an object the more intimate is its concept, and the more closely does the concept tend to become identified with the mind. ¹⁶

A similar process goes on within the Godhead. Since God is infinitely perfect, He knows Himseld completely and, in thus know-ing Himself. He reproduces Himself in an interior Word. The fast such a Word exists within the Godhead is divinely revealed in Sacred Scripture, "I which refers to the Second Person of the Blessed Scripture," which refers to the Second Person of the Blessed scripture, and the Second Person of the Blessed Second Person of the

An analysis of this divine procession reveals it to be a formal generation. In order that there be a generation in the strict sense, it

¹⁴ Cf. Summa Theol., I, q. 27, a. 2.

clis Ibid., a. 1; Quicumque enim intelligit, ex hoc ipto quod intelligit, processi aliquidi intra ipsum, quod est conceptio rei intelletzae, ex vi intellettiva proveniens, et ex eius notitia procedens. Quam quidem conceptionem vox significat, et dicitur verbum cordis significatum verbo vocis.
18 Ibid., ad 2um: Manifestum est enim quod quanto aliquid magis intel-

ligitur, tanto conceptio intellectualis est magis intima intelligenti; et magis unum: nam intellectus secundum hoc quod actu intelligit, secundum hoc fur intelligit, secundum hoc quod actu intelligit, secundum hoc fur unum: anni intellectus secundum hoc fur intelligit, secundum hoc fur intelligit, secundum hoc fur unum intelligere sit in fine perfections, necesse of quod verbum divinum sit perfecte unum cum co a quo procedit, absque omni diversitate.

¹⁷ Cf. Prologue to the Holy Gospel according to Saint John.

¹⁸ John, viii, 42.

^{19 /}bid., x, 30.

will be remembered, it is necessary that there be the origin of a new living being from a conjoined living principle by way of a similitude of nature.²⁰

The Drine Word proceeds by way of intellectual action which is a vital open. He proceeds from a conjoined bring principle because He receives the very nature of the First Person of the Blessess He receives the very nature of the First Person of the Disease He proceeds by way of similitude²¹ in as much as bring the proceeds in the same of the object conceived. He conceived the man nature because in God the act of understanding and His existence are the same. The procession of the Second Person is, then, properly and formally called generation. God, as subject or principle of this generation, is truly and formally a father, and the Drine Word Who proceeds is rightly called His Son.

Not only is this procession within the Godhead a true and formal generation, but it is the most perfect generation. While the Word proceeds from a conjoined living principle, He remains eternally united to that Principle, because in God generation is an act which goes on for eternity. This characteristic is peculiar to this eternal generation and renders it infinitely perfect.

Therefore, considered in the line of formal causality, generation, and consequently fatherhood, is found first and foremost in God-

"The terms 'generation' and 'paternity,' like the other terms properly applied to God, are said of God before creatures as regards the thing signified, but not as regards the mode of signification. Hence also the Apoutle says 'I bend my knee to the father of my Lord Jesus Christ, from whom all paternity in heaven and on earth is named.' This is explained thus. It is manifest that generation receives its

²⁹ Summa Theol., I. q. 27, a. 2. 21 Cf. Colose, i. 15.

²³ Summa Thol., 1, a 77, a 2; Sic igitur processio verbi in divinis habet ratiooms gerrardisons. Procedis and per modum intelligibilis actionis, quae et a principio comi per modum intelligibilis actionis, quae et accompositio inclusiones et accompositio inclusiones et accompositio inclusiones et accompositio inclusiones et accompositiones et accompos

species from the term which is the form of the thing generated; and the neartr it is to the form of the generator, the more perfect is the generation, as univocal generation is more perfect than non-univocal, for it belongs to the essence of a generator to generate what is like sized in form. Hence the very fact that in the driving generation the form of the Begetter and Begotten is numerically the same, whereas in creatures, it is not numerically, but only specifically, the same, shows that generation and consequently paternity, is applied to God before creatures.

But God is called Father not only because He is the Principle of the generation of His divine Son, but also because He is the Principle of the beling of other creatures. We shall now examine these relations of God to His creatures in order to determine whether or not they are relations of formal paternity. In order that they be fatherhoods in the formal sense it is necessary that God be the formal generative principle of creatures.

God is called the Pather of those creatures who participate in list divine nature. It is evident that God is not the natural father of the creature to whom He gives a participation of His nature, for divine nature is in no way due to creatures. Thus this type of fatherhood is called one of adoption, for it is by adoption that one takes a stranger as his own heir and child.⁴⁴ The charter of this adoption is to be found in the Spongless Configure to Saint John, in the Spongless

²³ Ibid., G. M., S. Z. ad dum 1... nomen generationis et paternistis, ident via la nomine, ques projet dicentari di idenis, per prisi di identi di identificationi, per prisi di identificationi, per prisi di identificationi di id

²⁴ Summa Theol., 111, q. 23, a. 1.

and in the Egistles of Saints Paul, Peter, and James.³⁸ According to their reading, men are begotten, how on God. God is called the Fa-their of mon because He is the principle of a new life in them, a supermant life, a life of grace. While this fatherhood is not a natural one in an smuch as the new life which men receive is in no way due to them by nature.³⁸ ye it differes greatly from human adoptive fatherhood; for, as we shall see, it adds inestimably to man's intrinsic worth.

However, those creatures whom God gives a participation in His divine nature do not proceed from Him by a process of generation in the strictest and most formal sense of the term. God does not produce the supernatural man from His own substance, but he is born of God according to grace, which inheres in his soul as an accidental form. Hence speaking in a most proper and a most formal sense, the act by which a child by divine adoption proceeds from God is not a generative one. However, all the other requirements of a true generation are verified. There is the procession of a new living being from a Living Principle: moreover, this new being participates in the nature of the Principle whence he proceeds. In view of this fact, then, it is not by mere metaphor that we refer to the act of divine adoption as a generation. Its close resemblance to generation taken in its strictest and most formal sense, and indeed its similarity to the divine eternal generation within the Godhead,27 furnishes a wellfounded basis for refering to it as a generation in a wide sense. Certainly there is more similarity between the procession of the adopted child from God and generation in the strictest sense than a mere similarity of activity, which is the foundation for a metaphor. However, in as much as the act of divine adoption does not fulfill all the re-

²⁶ John, i. 12, 13; Matt., v, 9, 44,45; Luke, xx, 35, 36; Rom., viii, 14-16; Ephez, i. 5 ft.; Gol., iv, 4-7; I John, i, 3: I Peter, i: Jomes i, 18.
CL.Summa Theol., 1-II, q. 112, a. 1; q. 114, aa. 2, 5.

²⁷ Simmas Amerit, 1-11, q. 114, a. 1; q. 114, a. 2, 5.
27 Simmas Theol, III, q. 22, a. 2, ad Junn: Filiatio adoptiva est quaedam similitudo filiationis aeterraes: isuu omnia quae in tempore facta sunt, similitudines quaedam sunt corum quae ab aeterno fuertunt,

quiements of a generation in its strictest sense, it can be properly referred to as generation only in the wide sense of the term. Fasherhood, therefore, in its most strict and formal sense, is more properly applied to a human father as the principle of a human generation than to God as the Principle of man's generation in the supernatural life. Nevertheless, the latherhood of divine adoption infinitely surpasses human paternity in dignity and exceedince.

Considering the relations of God to those of His creatures whom he has not endowed with sanctifying grace, we again find the notion of fatherhood verified in God. This, however, is not formal paternity. Between God and natural creatures we do not find the relation of the paternity and filiation, first of all, because, formally speaking, natural creatures are created rather than generated. Moreover, God one not produce such creatures by way of a similitude of His nature, but by way of a similitude of His nature implies of twice operation, and the only instances of creatures who operate in a divine manner are those who are gifted with divine grace. "So while tarnard creatures participate in the essence of God, they do not partake of God's nature. Then, too, natural creatures do not proceed from God as from a conjoined principle because God produces then from nothing and there is no medium between the Creator and the being created.

However, God may be said to be the Pather of natural creatures because, as the principle of their beinn, He produces them in a Gertain likeness of His essence.³⁰ This likeness will be more perfect at it approaches more closely the true relations of fatherhood and sonship. Thus God is called the Father of some creatures by reason only of votige, as in the case of the irrational animals.³⁰ Of the rational sonship. The contractive of the father by reason of the likeness of His image.³⁰

²⁸ Cf. Ibid., I-II, q. 110, aa. 3, 4; q. 112, a. 1; q. 113, a. 9; q. 114, a. 3; II-II, q. 19, a. 7.

²⁰ Ibid., I, q. 57, a. 2, ad 2um. 30 Ibid., I, q. 45, a. 7; q. 33, a. 3; q. 93, a. 6. 81 Ibid., Loc. cit.; cf. also I, q. 93, a. 2.

This we read in the Book of Deuteronomy, "Is he not thy stathe that half possessed thee, and made thee, and created thee? "B hat God is not only the principier of the being of natural creatures; it has been bedieved to the being a final than the production of a being in continued and General continued and of the drivine action, but is admitted to a higher one of centures, however, are incapable of know-entired to a higher one of centures, however, are incapable of know-entired than the continued that the continued to the contin

Among men we find a relation of paternity, that of human fatherbood, which allowals infinitely inferior to the Tatherbood within the Holy Trinity, is nevertheless true and formal paternity. It is formal beause the act by which men proceed from the human principle of their being is proportionately the same as the act by which the Second Person of the Blesself Trinity proceeds from the First. This set, formal generation, is, as we have seen, the foundation for the relation of formal paternity.

In the production of a human being there is a formal generation because all the requirements for such a generation are verified. The principle of the set of the procession produces a new living being. Moreover, he produces this being as a couploined principle, for his offspring proceeds from his own living substance. This new creature is of the same special search as the principle; it, too, is human. While this presentation, considered only in its street formality, is the same as the generation with Godblead, it is only proportionately the same; for, unlike the nature common to the First and Second Per-

³² Deuteronomy, xxxii, 6. 33 Summa Theol., II-II, q. 81, a. 3: Patris enim est producere et gubernare.

sons of the Holy Trinity, the nature of the begetter and begotten is not the same numerically, but only specifically.34

God may also be called the Father of the natural man in as much. 3H enterts in to supply the form in human production. However, His action in this instance is not properly generative, but rather creative, in as much as He produces the human soul out of nothing, and the second of the results in the generative act; and for this reson, as well as because of the fact that He supplies the form of the results himself, He can be called the father of the natural man. But He is so called only metaphorically, for the being that is produced as a result of His action and that of the human parents, who are the secondary principles in human production, receives the sature, not of the primary principle of its being, but rather of the secondary principles, the sine, but rather of the secondary principles, the sine, but rather of the secondary principles, the sine, but trather of the secondary principle. It is the proper active principle of a new living human being who is properly and formally list father, for such a being is of the same specific nature as his principle from whose own living substance he has proceeded.

Those men are also called fathers who assume as their own children and heirs those whom they have not generated. Since one who partakes of this type of fatherhood is not the principle whence the life of the child proceeds, he is not and to be the natural father of the child; his fatherhood is one of adoption. Since such a father is not a generative principle there can be no question of a formal paternity. Ornesquently, in human adoption the father adds nothing to the intrinsic worth of his adopted child. Therefore, such a one can be called a father only in an improper sense. But this application of the term as a metaphor is justifiable in as much as, in lieu of the term as a metaphor is justifiable in as much as, in lieu of the principle of the child's generation, the father by human adoption brings this generation to its integral perfection by performing those secondary functions which are proper to all principles of impricted generations. The claim of the father by human adoption to patently, then, is founded on the similarity of his action to that of the principles of the principles of the child on the similarity of his action to that of the principles of the child on the similarity of his action to that of the principles of the principles of the child of the principles of the princip

⁸⁴ Ibid., I, q. 33, a. 2, ad 4um.

ciple of human generation in bringing his offspring to its integral

As we have seen, fatherhood is to be found in all its perfection within the Godhead. All other fatherhoods are derived from this divine principality of God the Father. If a relation similar to this divine naternity is found intrinsically in other principles, then those principles are fathers in the proper and formal sense of the word. However, the name father can also be applied properly, although not so properly, to those principles who are subjects of an action, which although not proportionately the same according to an intrinsic form. is similar to the divine generative action within the Godhead. Moreover this similarity must be causally related to the eternal generation within the Blessed Trinity. The application of the name father to such principles is by the analogy of attribution in which, it will be recalled, a common name is imposed upon the various members of a comparison in which the reality signified by the name is found intrinsically in one of the analogates and in the others extrinsically by virtue of its causal relation to the prime analogate.

While it is true that the name paternity is first applied to creatures, the reality signified by that name is realized in its total perfection only within the Holy Trinity, and any other relationship is designated as fatherhood only in so far as it is similar to this eternal relationship within the Godhead.

Thus we see that any name which men apply to an object can be considered in two ways. If the name is taken as a term or word expressing a of the mind, then the anne is predicated first of container when the and to God. But if the name is considered in so far as it manifests the quidity of the thing which is named, then the name belongs first to God and then to creatures. Hence, the name name that it signifies a concept of the intellect ranning a thing applies first to creatures after God and must speak of God in terms of creatures. Here God and must speak of God in terms of creatures later God and must speak of God in terms of creatures later God and must speak of God in terms of creatures.

primarily and principally in God rather than in men, for it is certain that all generative power in creatures is from God. For this reason Sc Paul asys. "I bend my kinee to the Father of my Lord Jenus Christ, from whom all paternity in heaven and on carth in named," which is the same as if he had said, "the fatherhood which is in creatures is, as it were, nominal or vocal, but that divine paternity by which the Father gives His whole nature to the Son, without any imperfection, is true paternity, 39

Patherhood in its fullness and all its perfection, then, is the paternity within the Godhead. Any other relation merits the name of paternity only in so far as it imitates this relation of God the Father to the Word, and the more it resembles it the stronger will be its claim to the title of fatherhood.

Since the foundation for the relation of fatherhood is generation there must be a procession which can be properly addled generation before there can be any question of true paternity. When, however, such a procession is present, it is possible, even though it is not a generation in the most strict and formal sense, that it be more peretet than a generation taken in the strictes stems in as much as, in its mode of operation, it imitates more perfectly the distinctive characteristics of the generation of the Second Person of the Blessed Trinity. Consequently, one who is the principle of a generation by the analogy of strittlington may have a claim to a fatherhood which sur-

passes in dignity and excellence a fatherhood which arises from a generation in the most strict sense because of the fact that the generation of which he is the principle imitates more perfectly the distinctive feature of the fatherhood of the Erist Person of the Blessed Trinity from "enhom all paternity in heaven and on earth is named."

Trum mome to the patherhood within the Codineal The using characteristic of the Fatherhood within the Codineal is its communication of the totality of the divine nature. The nature which God communicates to the divine Word is the fullness of Hig divine nature. Therefore, those who share as principles in a generate process by which de divine nature is communicated are truly fathers, for we have the authority of God Himselff that one is called a that by wirms of his participation in that drivine eternal action by which Hig year His whole nature to the Second Person of the Blesser Trimy. The prime analogate, the fullness of paternity, is the divine Fatherhood within the Godinad, which is the archetype of all other Entherhood is but a participation.

Conceputity, a theological determination of the various types of conceputity, a theological determination of the various types of the exemplay causally of the external driven paternity, for Gol Hundel assures us that His Paternity is the exemplar of all other interfaces. When the field of latherhood is applied to a relation which instants this driven Paternity, the term is applied properly by the analogo of attribution, for in this type of analogy the reality signified by the common name is found intrinsically in one of the smalogets and in the others he way of extraint denomination.

With the Fatherhood within the Godhead as an exemplar it only remains to determine which relations initiate it and which initiate it more perfectly. Our consideration, then, is by way of exemplary causally, In our consideration of paternity by way of the analogy of proportionally it has been seen that God's fatherhood of natural creatures and the fatherhood human adoption cannot be properly called fatherhood; for earther God in this instance, nor the father

⁸⁶ Ephes., III., 15.

by human adoption are in any sense principles of a generation. God's action in such cases is creative, while the father by human adoption is mertly an external principle perfecting a generation of which he is not the principle. Hence, neither God, as principle of natural creates, on the father by human adoption can be called a father by the sailagy of proper proportionality or the analogy of attribution, for in the daes analogies the term must be realized in its proper signification in each of the members of the comparison. Since, then, neither God as principle of natural creatures, on the father by human adoption are in any sense generative principles, the term father can be agoided to them only improperly or metaphorically.

However, according to the analogy of attribution God can be properly called the Father of those creatures whom He has endowed with sanctifying grace. This is so because in the act of divine adoption God effects a true generation, even though it is not a generation in the most strict and formal sense of the term. Since generation like (atherhood, is analogical, it is required only that each of the elements of its definition be in some way verified. In divine adoption there is a true generation because each of its requisites is in some way present. First of all, there is the production of a new being in as much as man becomes a new creature at by the grace of adoption. There is a communication of nature because the grace of adoption is a participation in the nature of God. 38 The divinely adopted child may be said to proceed from God as from a conjoined living principle in this way: just as within the Godhead the Son remains ever conjoined to His Father in as much as the generation there is eternal, so, too, the adopted child of God remains conjoined to Him after the essential act of supernatural generation by the grace which God continues to sustain in his soul. There is here, then, a procession which may rightly be called a generation, and, indeed, this generation resembles more closely than all others the unique feature of that eternal, per-

³⁷ Cf. II Cor., v. 17; Gal., vi, 15. 38 II Peter i 4

feet generation within the Godhead. It initiates it more perfectly, first of all, because by it is communicated a participation in the same discince nature which God gives to His only Begotten Son. Morrower, in communication by which men become the adopted children of God is not by matter but by the divine manner, dod is not by matter but by the divine gift of grace. Hence the fa-therboad of divine adoption can be properly called paternity, for it has a its basis a procession which, by the analogy of attribution, is properly designated as generation. Indeed, this fatherboad approaches more closely in dignity than all other types to the divine natural Fatherhood 'from which all paternity in heaven and on earth is maned," and its excellence and proximity to the paternity within the Golbad comist in its more perfect initiation of the distinctive feature of that paternity, mundy, its divinences.

In our consideration of the process of human reproduction we have seen that it fulfils the requirements for a true generation. But in order that it be the foundation for a relationship of fatherhood with initiates the distinctive characteristic of that paternity "from which all paternity in beaven and on earth is named," it is necessary that this generation can be said to be in some way divine. But human generation can be add to be in some way divine. But human generation can be add to hindate the distinctive characteristic of the generation whils the Godhead in as much as the new creature which is produced by the divinity, or, more specifically, is a likeness of the only Begotten of God. This is no because "the creature is likened to the word of God. This is no because "the creature is of the divinity, or, more specifically, is a likeness of the only Begotten Son of God. This is no because "the creature is likened to the word of God, which is legotten in the disciples mind is likened to the word of God." This human faind of the manter. In this way the rational creature, even in them, is likened to the Word of God." The human faiter can be

⁸⁰ Ephes., iii, 15.

⁴⁵ Sames Thee, III, q. 23, a. 3; ... assimilatur creatura Verbo, non quatum ad rationem formae, sed etiain quantum ad intellectualitatem moistri. Et hoc mode creatura rationalis, etc. in seminal quae fit in mente discipuli, assimilatur verbo quod est in moistri. Et hoc modo creatura rationalis, etam secundum naturan, assimilatur Verbo Del.

said to communicate this likeness of the divine nature as a conjoined fiving principle in as much as the matter into which God infuses the spiritual soul is conjoined to him; this matter is the subject of the spiritual form, both of them being united to form a new living being.

While the secondary principle in human procession is properly called a father in so far as he shares as a generative principle in the communication of a likeness of the divine nature, his fatherhood in this respect is very imperfect, less perfect than the fatherhood of divine adoption, and only a weak and faint reflection of the divine enterpity within the Blessed Trinity. Its deficiency is found not only in the principles of human generation but also in the human generative act. The principles of human generation are imperfect precisely because they are material and multiple. Moreover, the generative act is imperfect because in man, who is finite, it is temporal. This means that not only does man have to wait until he reaches a certain stage of development before he can place the generative act, but, once he has placed the act, a period of time must elapse before the completion of the process of generation. Moreover, when essential generation has taken place, the being is far from having reached its perfection. The child at generation and for a long time after birth is incapable of maintaining its own existence. Consequently, closely bound up and included in the idea of human generation is the notion of education, which includes the nourishment, protection, instruction and training of the child. These notions are inseparable from the concept of human generation, "for nature intends not only the begetting of offspring, but also its education and development until it reaches the perfect state of man as man. . . ."41 It is for this reason that the human father is said to be the principle not only of generation, but also "of educa-

⁴¹ Summa Theol., Suppl., q. 41, a. 1: Non enim intendit natura solum gentrationem prolis, sed traductionem et promotionem usque ad perfectum statum hominis inquantum homo est.

tion, of learning, and of whatever pertains to the perfection of human

It is the function of the human father, then, not only to generate, but also to nourish, to protect, to instruct and to train his children, Indeed, in every generation which is not perfect (and there is only the one perfect generation) some or all of these functions will be necessary, deemding on the nature of the being generated.

From a theological point of view, then, there are, properly spasiing, only three types of fatherhood. The fullness of paternity is to be found within the Godhead and an imitation of this drivine fatherhood is found in human paternity and in a far greater degree in the paternity of dvine adoption. These latter are participations in the Fatherhood of the First Person of the Blessed Trinity because the similitude which they bear to it is actually caused, as by an exemplary cause, by this divine relation within the Godhead. Owing to its far more perfect mode of initiating its exemplar in communicating a participation in the divine nature, the fatherhood of divine adoption infinitely surpasses human paternity in dignity and excellence and is the greatest possible participation in the divine, eternal Fatherhood of God the Father.

^{42 /}bi., II-II, q. 102, a. 1: Pater est principium et generationis, et educationis, et disciplinae, et omnium quae ad perfectionem humanae vitae pertinent.

CHAPTER III

THE FATHERHOOD OF CHRIST

As we have seen, one is truly and properly a fasher in as much as he participates in the drivine fasherhood of God from "whom all paternity in heaven and on earth is named." He who participates more failly than all Osterios in this divine principality of the Pather is His Divine Son, Jesus Christ. Christ is a father because He generates men into a new life. He begets new creatures who participate in His own nature? much more intimately than men, by human generation, where in the nature of their natural fathers.

Christ, as man, is the meritorious principle of man's participation in the divine nature in as much as through His human nature He merited this gift for men by His Passion. Moreover, Christ, as man, is the efficient although instrumental, principle of man's supernatural generation in as much as His Humanity, through which He merited the grace by which me are regenerated, is an instrument



¹ Esher., iii, 15.

^{2 11} Peter, i, 4.

⁵ Cf. Conc. Trédent, sex. vi, esp. III: Den., 795: Nan sicut revera homines, nile ex semine Adae propaçati macerentur, non autocerentur inicials, cum ea propagatione per ipsum, dum concipiantur, propriam iniutifiam contrabateit in nisi in Christo renascerentur, unuquam instificarentur, cum ea renastaseit in nisi in Christo renascerentur, cum quamma instificarentur, cum ea renastaseit per meritum passionis cius gratia, qua iusti finti, Illis tribautur. Cf. Ibd., cup. VII. Denz., 799: citam can 10. Denz., 280: Cf. citam cum 200-cit. Lit. q. 60.

Summe Tated, 111., 6, 6, a, 3.Discendum quod interiorem nacramontorum felectum operatur Gerbium e tracerdom quod ett Duus, e treculom quod ett Duus, o terculom quod ett Duus, o terculom quod ett Duus, o peratur in autramentis per autocitationen. Secundum autom quod ent homo, operatur in autramentis per autocitationen. Secundum automo quod ent homo, operatur in autoritari deflectus sacramentorum meritorie, et efficienter, sed instrumentishir difettus sacramentorum meritorie, et efficienter, sed instrumentishir effectus sacramentorum meritorie, et et entire per della per entire qualitari destrumentis per entire per entire qualitari della peritoria della peritor

conjoined to His divinity, which is the principal efficient cause of spiritual life. Thus to Christ, as the meritorious² and efficient principle of supernatural generation, is properly attributed the title of Fasher.

A cursory glance at the early events of human history shows the necessity of Christ's Fatherhood in the present order of things. When Gof created Adam, the first father of nen. He endowed him with the greatest blessings. He elevated man to Himself, giving him a share in His own divine nature. The devil, seeing man in such a state of blessedness, was filled with evay and determined to steal from him life in God. But the evil one know that God had decree of that man should lose his participation in divine life only by sin. So he act to deprive man of his life of soul and body by inducing him to sin. The devil was successful in his evil designs, and man, deprived of his life in God, was, to all appearances, hopelessly low, was, to all appearances, hopelessly low.

Yet, God, in His infinite goodness, decreed that man's heritage should be restored. He willed that man should have a new father who would regenerate him into the divine life. However, it was first necessary that man be reconciled to God. Man was, therefore, in need of a mediator; for, "properly speaking, the office of a mediator is

It is to be noted that it is by reason of her rôle as meritorious cause of their spiritual regeneration that the Blessed Virgin Mary is truly and properly the Mother of men. This is the common teaching of theologians. Cf. e.g., MER-KELBACK, Mariologia, n. 194 "Causalitatem moralem suffragii. scil.. meriti et intercessionis, mediationi Mariae agnoscunt quasi communiter. . . . " "Mariam non solum esse nostrum matrem adoptivam, externa quadam et iuridica adoptione, sed serom matrem, licet spiritualem, quia vere nos genuit, ita ut vera cours quodammodo sit intrinsecae nobis vitae supernaturalis et gratiae divinae." "Mariam esse matrem nostram spiritualem, non tantum indirecte, quia Christus ex ea natus est, aut improprie, quia maternam curam gerit et oratione pro nobis intercedit, sed directe et proprie: quia cooperata est nostrae generationi spirituali ac saluti, et quidem cooberatione specifice materna, cum intenderit nostram spiritualem generationem; et quia ex munere matris intercedit, ita ut orațio eius sit fundata in cooperatione sua, et etiam vera sit cooperatio in applicatione mediorum salutis et executione redemptionis." (n. 163) 6 Wisdom, ii. 24.

⁷ Cf. FRAY LUIS DE LEON, The Names of Christ, (New York: 1926), pp. 44-45.

to join together those between whom he mediates; "Moreover, the order of divine justice demanded that the mediator be a man; if or, aince it was man who had sinned, it was man who must make amends. But since man's delt was infinite, he was unable to make satisfaction. Only One Who was God could satisfy for the infinite offense. It was necessary, therefore, that the mediator be privately, therefore, that the mediator be private; for to be a mediator between God and man is the office proper to a priest." A priest was also necessary in as much as the divine justice could be satisfied only by the offering of an acceptable sacrifice, and "the priest's office consists grincipally in the offering of sacrifice." 19

The reconciliation of man to God could be effected, then, only by a mediator who was at once God, man and priets. Such a one was required for satisfying the didt which man had incurred. But in order that man be restored to his life in God even more was required. God had decreed, however, that this restoration should take piace. Moreover, He had determined the manner in which it was to be effected. The sons of Adam were not to be form into this world in a super-technic manner of the son of t

^{*} Summa Theol., III, q. 26, a. 1: Dicendum quod mediatoris officium proprie est coniungere eos inter quos est mediator.
* Ubid., q. 22, a. 1: Dicendum quod proprie officium sacerdotis est esse

mediatorem inter Deum et populum.

10 Ibid., a. 4, Sed contra: Sed in sacrificio offerendo potissime sacerdotis consistit officium.

II FRAY LUIS DE LEON, ep. cit., p. 46. "generate anotise" which may 19 fabs, iii, J. Netz:—The Greek text has "generate anotise" which may 18 fabs, iii, J. Netz:—The Greek text has "generate anotise" con the Fascan: "from again"; "there anotes: "from a fabs," Some of the Fascan Decoret, St. Thomas amorprect significants on the context in all shows that the six the true meaning. It is not the fact of a refirst that it can be plainted but the applicated but the applicated but the applicated but the applicated out the application of the context. The can be considered to the context of the context of

The very idea of birth implies a son or children who are generated and a father who generates. Therefore, in order that men have life in God it is necessary that they have a father to generate them into this divine life. Hence, for the regeneration of man into the supernatural life there is required a Father Who is at the same time

God, man and priest.

The divine Son of God is the only One Who could fulfill all
The divine Son of God man, and indeed the perfect man. He
these requirements. Being man, and indeed the perfect man. He

these requirements. Being man, and indeed the perfect man, it could take upon Himself the sins of man. Being God, He could satistly for the infinite offense. Being a priest, He could offer the acceptable sacrifice, the fruit of which would restore man to supernatural life.

Christ is a priest simultaneously with His Incarnation, "since the mission received from the Father to redeem the world through His sacrifice dates from this instant." "In becoming Incarnate, the Word of God assumed to speak, all the qualifications for and all the rights to the prieston to. 18 yet all the qualifications for and all the rights to the prieston of th

Because He is the great High Priest, Christ is the Father of men. Indeed, He is the Father of men by a special divine decree, and His humanity, His mediatorship and His priesthood were all ordered to

spiritual rebirth is the first requisite for entry into the kingdom of heaven, which, contrary to the general expectation, is here asserted to be a spiritual kingdom. Cf. M. J. LAGRANGE, Evangile selon Saint Jean (Paris: 1936), 381 TUREDNER.

¹⁸ J. TIXERONT, Holy Orders and Ordination (St. Louis: 1928), p. 21.
14 Ibid., p. 17.

^{15 [}bid. 16 [bid.

this end. While it is true that Christ was at the same time man, mediator, priest and father, in the order of nature. His humanity, His mediatorship and His priesthood precede His patentity and, indeed, His patentity is the immediator, and the priesthood. It is by reason of His priesthood that Christ participates in the divine patentity of His Tabler, for by His carifice He merited for men a participation in the divine nature by which they become sens of God.¹⁷

Just as Adam is the father of the human race in so far as he contained virtually as sons all men who were to proceed from him as from a principle, so, too, Christ, the Second Adam, is the use Father of men in as much as by Hip passion He nerited for all men the grace by which they participate in the divine nature, which grace He efficiently communicates to them in the Sacraments. Christ is, therefore, the meritorious and efficient principle of man's generation into the succernatural life.

Since Christ is both God and man, He effects this divine generation in two ways, both as principal cause and an intermental cause. It is the divinity of Christ which is the principal cause of man's spiriatal generation, and therefore, his effect belongs to the whole Trinity. Nevertheless, in as much as in the present order man's generation into the supernatural Hie is to be effected by one who is God, man, mediator and priest, and since these requisites are found in Circit alone, supernatural Hier is to be effected by one who is God, man, mediator and priest, and since these requisites are found in Circit alone, supernatural generation belongs immediately to Him. It belongs also to the whole Trinity as to the first and remote cause, to whome Christ's life belonged as its first author and from whom Christ received the inspiration of regenerating man. Consequently, it belongs immediately to Christ as man to the Fabrier of men, although the spiritual generation of men is aeribed to the whole Trinity as its first execute.

SIENA COLLEGE LIBRARY

¹⁷ Rom., v. 2: viii, 16.

¹⁸ St. Thomas uses a similar argument to prove that the office of Redeemer is proper to Christ as man: Dicendum quod ad hoc quod aliquis redimat, duo requiruuntur: scilicet actus solutionis, et pretium solutum. Si enim aliquis solvat

It is to be noted that to the Second Person of the Blessed Trininy, considered not as God-mm, but as the Word of God, is attributed the title of Tabler by mass of the fact that He is the exemplary cause of man's spin-reportation, for the operation of drivine adoption "more common to the whole Trinity, is appropriated to adoption to the subset of the Second Second Second Second Glost, as imprinting on us the illeness of this exemplar." Indeed this driver adoptive Patherhood of the Word by reason of exemplary canality is perfect, for by it the "creature is illened to the Eternal Word, as to the onesses of the Word with the Father."

However, considered in the line of efficient causality, Fatherhood is attributed to Christ as man and, indeed, as the great High Priest, for it was in this latter rôle that He offered the sacrifice which was efficacious for regenerating all men into the soiritual life.

Because of this entirely spiritual effect of Christ's action He is there of men in a much truer sense than Adam; for He gives men supernatural life, a participation in the very life of God, whereas Adam bequeaths to them only passing human life in a corrupted human nature, which, because of its corruptibility, is incompatible with eternal life. It is for this reason that as sons of Adam men must die, but at sons of Christ thee have life eternal.

Christ is not only the second Adam; He is also "The last Adam,"

per redempiènes aliquia rei pertinu, si non es suum, sed alerius, non dicture pre redimer pricipitate, so dinegii dei cui so t pertinum. Pertinum action rei dempiène nontre est anaque. Circiti, vei vité sim corprealis, que action ador l'articular de la comparti del la comparti de la comparti de la comparti de la comparti de la comparti del la comparti del

¹⁹ Ibid., q. 23, a. 2, ad 3: Et ideo adoptatio, licet sit communis toti Trinitati, appropriatur tamen Patri ut auctori, Filio ut exemplari, Spiritui Sancto ut imprimenti in nobis huius similitudinem exemplaris.

²⁰ Ibid., a. 3: ... assimilatur creatura Verbo acterno secundum unitatem quam habet ad Patrem

(se "there is no other name under haven given to men, whereby we must be saved." There is no other father to be expect. There is no there after to be expected in the control from the deadly effects transmitted through their generation by the first Adam, and can give them a new life, which is a participation in the divine nature. What Adam would have which is a participation in the divine nature. What Adam would have and, as a consequence, his sons are born in a nature that bears the deadly effects of his sin. Christ's sons, however, participate in the all-perfect nature of God Himself. Therefore, Christ's fatherhood exceeds the human and corrupt, as unsulled superature exceeds corrupted nature. The comparison of the two Pathers of men and of the inheritances left their respectives sons, a given by S. Paul in the fifth chapter of his Epistet to the Romans, shows the infinite, superiority of Christ as the Pather of me.

"But not as the offence, so also the gift.

much more the grace of God, and

For if by the offence of one, many died;

 the gift, by the grace of one man, Jesus Christ, hath abounded unto many.

And not as it was by one sin, so also is the gift.

For the judgment indeed was by but grace is of many offences, one unto condemnation; unto justification.

For if by one man's offence death reigned through one; much more they who receive abundance of grace, and of the gift, and of justice, shall reign in life through one, Jesus Christ.

For as by the disobedience of one man, many were made sinners;

ne so also by the obedience of one, many shall be made just."22

-

²¹ Acts, iv. 12. 22 Rom., v. 15-19.

As sons of Adam, therefore, men are sinners, worthy of condemnation; but as sons of Christ they are saints, worthy of eternal

Christ, then, is the Father of all men virtually in that he merited for them by His Passion a participation in the nature of God. But He is also the actual Father of each man to whom He communicates the divine nature, to whom He applies the merits of His Passion by penerating them into a new life.

This generation is effected through the sacrament of Baptism. In Bantism. Christ "actually implants within us that which we begin to receive in him and which He performed in Himself for us, that is, the destruction of our guilt and its expulsion from our soul. . . At the same time He inserted a germ-a seed, we might call it-of His spirit and grace, which enclosed within our soul and cultivated as it should be, might afterwards sprout at its appointed time, increase in strength, and grow to the measure of the 'perfect man'. . . . "28 It is by Baptism that men are incorporated into the Passion of Christ,24 for, as the Apostle says, we are saved "by the laver of regeneration."25 In Baptism all the requisites for a true generation are in some way verified. There is the production of a new living being, for through this sacrament man becomes a "new creature."26 There is a communication of the same nature because by the grace given in Baptism men become partakers of the divine nature of Christ, "by whom," says St. Peter, "he hath given us most great and precious promises that by these you may be made partakers of the divine nature," Men, in the sacrament of Baptism are said to proceed from Christ as from a conjoined living principle in as much as, having proceeded from Him by grace, they remain ever intimately united to

²³ FRAY LUIS DE LEON, op. cit., p. 55.
24 Summa Theol., III, q. 69, a. 2: . . . per baptismum aliquis incorporatur

passioni et morti Christi: secundum illud Rom. vi Si mortui zumus uncorporatur credinus quis etiom zimul vivemus cum Christo. 22 Tius, iii S.

^{26 //} Cor., v, 17; Gal., vi, 15.

Him by the presence of this grace in their souls. Hence, it is that Christ is truly and properly called a father, and men, by the "laver of regeneration," become His sons.

In recognition of this fact, that through their divine Mediator men must die as sons of Adam and live as sons of Christ, the Church, on her Litany of the Holy Name, salutes Christ her work of Taisis as "Pather of the world to conce." She However, it are noted that Christ is not the natural father of men, for the nature who most data Christ is not the natural father of men, for the nature who municates to them is in no way due to them. However, its communication is entirely gratuitous." It is by adoption that men herome sons of Christ Beause Christ's fatherbood is one of adoption does not mean, however, that it is less than human paternity. On the contrary, His fatherhood is the fullest participation in the paternity of God the Father; it is God Himself Who gives the form to Christ's fatherhood, since the nature which Christ communicates is divine. Obviously, then, Christ's fatherhood is in the supernatural order and exceeds human paternity as heaven exceeds earth.

Despite the infinite superiority of Chris's patently over human fatherhood, it is not the plenitude of patently which is proper to the First Person of the Bleased Trinity Who generates a Son in an identity of nature; for men proceed from Curis only by way of a similitude of nature. Moreover, Christ, in Baytim, does not generate sons who have reached their final perfection. The grace, the participation in Christ's divine nature which they receive, is but the "seed of port," all And as the sons born of Christ have not attained their perfection, the has provided for their growth, nonrishment, strength, and protection through the medium of the other scarcements. Conse-

²⁸ Pater futuri saeculi. (Issias, ix, 6).

²⁹ Cf. Summa Theol., III, q. 2, a. 12.

³⁰ Cf. [bid., I-II q. 112, a. 1; q. 114, aa. 2, 5.
31 Summa Theol., I, q. 62, a. 31 Gratia gratum faciens hoc mode comparture ab beatitudinem, sicut ratio seminalis in natura ad effectum naturalem; under ... gratia grama Dei nominatur.

³² Cf. Ibid., III, q. 62, a. 2; q. 65, a. 4; q. 72, a. 1, ad 3.

quently, Christ's work as the Father of each man is not complete with his generation, but continues until he reaches the stature of the "perfect man" in the life of glory.

Christ, acting as the perfect and all-loving Father, nourishes His children throughout their lives with the spiritual food of His own Body and Blood. He strengthens them and gives them courage to meet life's battles in the Sacrament of Confirmation, And when His children are enfeebled with the disease of sin, He places His healing hand upon them in the Sacrament of Penance and restores them to health When His children are weak and famished and at the point of death. He is with them in the Sacrament of Extreme Unction, giving them added vigor to fight on to the end. It is also the duty of a father to rule and to govern.45 Christ, being "the Father of the world to come." sees to its rule and governance by communicating His power and grace in the Sacrament of Holy Orders, by which men are constituted His magistrates. A father must also propagate his species, Christ provides for the perpetuation of His divine society, and assures its members of all the supernatural helps necessary for their perfection by giving special grace for this purpose to those who are united in the holy Sacrament of Matrimony,

In as much as Christ, by His priestly sacrifice.³⁴ merited the grace necessary for man's spiritual generation and his perfection in

^{33 /}bid., H-II, q. 81, a. 3.

MAII the meritarion actions of Curius were priestly acts, since of themofeste they had infinite value, as proceeding from a divine Person. Nevertheless the merita of His acts were ordinated by Ged 100 and 100 and 100 His Passion with spon the Core. CL DURST. The Characteristical His Passion and Person and Person and Person and Person acquired Christia et al., 130 (100, 541-581); p. 539; Fontes autem revelations constante adecident. See a constant person acquired Christia et al., 100 and 100 and

THE PATHERHOOD OF CHRIST the supernatural life, and in as much as He efficiently communicates this grace through the sacraments, there can be no doubt that He is. as St. Thomas says, "the principal father of all."36 35 Comm. in I ad Corinthios, cap. iv, lect. 3.

45

THE PRIEST'S PRIMARY CLAIM TO FATHERHOOD PARTICIPATION IN THE DIVINE PATERNITY

Christ is the Father of men because He is the great High Priest. Morcover, He is the only High Priest of the New Law, as is evident from the following words of the Council of Epbeass: "If anyone say that the very Word of God did not become our High Priest and Apostle, as though this were to be said of another one, the man born of a woman . . . let him be anatherna," Christ the priest is the source of all priestly power: He" its the fountain-bad of the entire priest-boods." As we have seen, it was as High Priest that Christ fulfilled His role as the Father of men.

Since His Ascension into heaven, however, Christ is not present upon earth as a visible priest. Nevertheless, in as much as He is the everlasting propitiation for sin," "always living to make intercession for us." 4 He continues to function as our High Priest in heaven.

Although Christ the Priest is no longer visibly present upon earth, the fruits of His priesthood are being daily transmitted to men. Since our divine Lord understood perfectly the nature of man and his need for visible signs and institutions. He established upon earth a visible priesthood, "whose members He empowered" to communicate

¹ Conc. Ephesimum, Anathematismi Cyrilli, Can. 10; Denz., 122: Si quis ergo Pontificem et Apostolum nostrum dicit factum non ipsum Dei Verbum... sed velut alterum praeter ipsum.... A.S.

² Summu Theol., III, q. 22, a. 4: Christus autem est fons totius sacerdotii.
³ I John. ii. 2.

⁴ Hcb., vii, 25.

⁶ Cf. Catechis. Concilii Trident., Pars. II, cap. I, 14.
⁶ Cf. Conc. Trident., sess. XXII. cap. I; Denz., 938.

⁷ Matt., xxviii, 18-20.

to men the redemptive grace merited by His priestly sacrifice. The members of this visible priesthood are not merely Christ's administrative successors but rather they are in very truth partakers of His priesthood. They are more than representatives of the great High Priest, for they act in the very person of Christ.8 For this reason St. Paul could say: "For what I have pardoned, if I have pardoned anvthing, for your sakes I have done it in the person of Christ."9 Thus the priest becomes identified with the great High Priest in all his ministerial acts. His official acts are Christ's acts, for Our Blessed Lord Himself says of His priests: "He that heareth you, heareth me; and he that despiseth you, despiseth me; and he that despiseth me. despiseth him that sent me."10 The members of the priesthood of the New Law, then, are equipped with Christ's divine authority and power so that they can bring to men the salvific effects of His sacrifice. They are thus made sharers iin the eternal priesthood of Christin fact, the priesthood of Christ and that of His ministers is one and the same thing.

Christ instituted the priesthood of the New Law, when, at the Lat Supper, He commanded His Apottles to continue the Euchariatic Sacrifice which He had just performed. By the words, "Do this for a commonoration of Me," He conferred upon them the power proper to priest of the New Law, the power to offer the same ascrifact." The Apostles and their successors, to whom they were to transmit his sacred power," are thus made participants in the priesthood

 $^{^{8}}$ Summa Theol., Loc. cit.: Sacerdos autem novae legis in persona ipsius (Christi) operatur.

¹¹ Cor., ii, 10.

¹¹ Cone. Tridest, sess. XXII, cap. 9, can. 2; Denz., 949: Si quis dixerit, illis verbis: Hec facile in mean commemorationem, Christum non instituisse Apostolos sacerdotes, aut non ordinasse, ut ipsi allisque sacerdotes offerrent corpus et sanguinem suum: A.S.

^{32 [}bid., cap. 1: Denz., 938: Dominus noster ... socerdotem secundum ordinem Melchizedech se in aeternam constitutum declarams, corpus et sanguinem suum sub speciebus panis et vini Deo Patri obtulit ac sub earundem rerum

of Christ. This participation is effected by means of the character of the sacrament of Holy Orders, by which men are configured to the one great High Priest.

Originally, the term character was used to signify an image or figure which was indelily imprinted or careed in wood or stone. Of its very nature, then, a character is meeting permanent and inefclacable. Later, the word came to be meeting permanent and inefabout a resemblance between its bearer and to destone a sign bringing about a resemblance between its bearer and to destone a sign bringing beat careful. This soldiers, who are saigned to militime whose name marked with their leader's sign, by which they are, in with the saigness of the saigness of the saigness of the saigness of the likened to him." This figure has been berrowed to dispute the power conferred by certain of the sacraments by which men are configured to Christ and made participants in HB priethous in HB.

"A character," says St. Thomas, "Is proporly a bind of seal, whereby something is marked a being ordained to some perticular end." More specifically "a character is a kind of seal by which the soul is marked, so that it may review or bestow on others things pertaining to Divine worship." If the character is one by which we receive things pertaining to the divine cut it is passive! If, however, by the character we bestow things pertaining to the divine cut it is passive! If, however, by the character we bestow things pertaining to the divine cut it is passive! If however, by the character we bestow things pertaining to the divine worship, then, it is an active power.\textit While it is true that all acramental characters are "certain participations in Christ's priesthood, flowing from Christ Humell," whe character of sacred ordination is a much

symbolis Apostolis (quos tunc Novi Testamenti sacerdotes constituebat), ut sumerent, tradidit et eisdem ocrumque in sacerdotio successoribus, ut offerrent,

praecepit per hace verba: Hoc facile in mean commemorationem. 18 Summa Theol., 111, q. 63, a. 3, a. 22:... milites, qui deputantur ad pugnam, insigniuntur signo ducis, quo quodammodo ei configurantur.

pugnam, insigniuntur signo ducis, quo quodammodo ei configurantur. ¹⁴ C.f. *ibid.*, a. 2. ¹⁵ (Did., a. 3: Character proprie est signaculum quoddam quo aliquid in-

signitur ut ordinandum in aliquem finem. is finem.

¹⁷ Cf. ibid., a. 2. 18 Cf. ibid., loc. cit.

¹⁹ Ibid., a. 3:... quaedam participationes sacerdotii Christi ab ipso Christo derivatae.

fuller participation in His prisenbood, and is the closest configuration to Christ in His office as Priest. By configuration is meant the continuation of the priest to the one great High Priest. Just as Christ is minage of the Patter?³⁸ to the priest is the image of Christ, because by the character of Sacred Orders the priesthood of Christ is impressed upon him, thus making him the minister of the grace motived for men by the priestly act of Christ. By this character the priest is invested with divine authority and given the power to act in the very person of Christ. In a word, he thus becomes "another Christ."

It is by this secramental character of Holy Orders, then, that mean are configured to Christ, the great High Priest, and are given an intimate share in His priesthood. By this sharing in Christ's priesthood they become the instrumental efficient causes of the grace by which men are born and perfected in the supernatural life. Just as Christ's fatherhood of men is, as we have seen, the immediate consequent of His priesthood, so, too, the fatherhood of the priest immediately flows from his priesthood for whoever shares in Christ's priesthood by reason of a sacerdotal character, by that very fact, participates in the dwine paternity.

Therefore, when one is ordained a priest of the New Law, he immediately, by that very fact, becomes a father of men. By resement of this offect, the priest is the minister of the grace merited by the priestly act of Clirist; and in as much as Christ merited this grace for all men, the priest, who by virtue of his offect acts the place of Christ, is virtually the father of all. Consequently, St. John Chrystonco can asy that the priest is 'the common father of the whole world," "At the same time the priest, by reason of his sacerdotal offect, is the actual father of all those to whom this repensariate grace is communicated through the sacraments, and particularly the sacrament of Daptism; for it is by means of the grace communicated in

²⁰ Coloss., i, 15.

²¹ In I Tim., cap. ii, Hom. VI; P.L., 62, 529: Quasi communis totius orbis pater sacerdon est.

the sacraments, of which the priest by reason of his office is the minister, that men are born and grow in the supernatural life. Thus St. Gregory, St. Jerome and St. Alphonsus rightly call the priest "the Father of Christians."²²

The priest has still another claim to fatherhood in the case of those whom he personally generates into the spiritual life by Baptism. This same relation of spiritual paternity would, of course, likewise remaining the priest paternity and the priest paternity and the priest paternity in the latter instance, it is to be noted that one is so acting does not function as a cause of spiritual life by virtue of his office, that is, by reason of sharing in Christ's priestood through a sacredual character. The layman who haptizes in case of necessity, although acting as an instrumental generative principle of spiritual life, does not exercise the ministry of the Church, Paor, indeed, share in the priest hood of Christ through the character of sacred ordination. He becomes a father only by reason of the act he performs, not by reason of this office, Pa

The priest, however, does not have to wait until be begets a child to become a father. The scanners of Hely Orders not only gives the sacramental grace for properly disposing its recipients to perform the paternal functions but it also actually constitutes men in the office of fatherhood. Therefore, the moment a man become a priest he likewise becomes a father. By the priesthood of Christ, in which he shares, all men who are children of Christ was been begotten. In as much as the priest stands in the place of Christ and acts in the person of Christ he is, by reason of his priesty office, the father of every man who has become a child of Christ by Baptism. Morroover, he is the father of all mon virtually, even those who are

²² Cf. ST. ALPHONSUS, Dignity and Duties of the Priest or Selva (New York: 1888), pp. 144, 234.

²⁹ Summa Theol., III, q. 64, a. 6, ad 3: In articulo tamen necessitatis non peccaret baptizando in casu in quo etiam posset laicus dispensare. Sic enim patet quod non exhibet se ministrum Ecclesiae, sed subvenit necessitatem patienti.

²⁴ Cf. Ibid., q. 67, a. 3, ad i &2.

this intimate participation in the fatherhood of God.

52

THE PATHERHOOD OF THE PRIEST not Christians, since by Christ's priesthood, in which he participates and the fruits of which he is the minister, there is merited for all the grace to become sons of Christ. Thus the priest shares in the supernatural adoptive fatherhood of Christ which is the closest possible

participation in the fullness of divine paternity While there are many other reasons why the priest can lay claim to the title of spiritual paternity, they are of relatively minor significance when compared to

CHAPTER V

THE PRIEST'S SECONDARY CLAIM TO FATHERHOOD—THE SACRAMENTAL MINISTRY

We have arrived at the priest's claim to fatherhood by his participation in the one, esternal priesthood of Christ. As we have exhibit primary claim of the priest to spiritual patentity does not arise from his exercise of the particular functions of a father, but even before the priest personally generates he is a father; for he participates in the divine patentity by the very fact that he is a priest. Since his priesthood and that of Christ are one, the moment he slares in the priesthood he is, by reason of his office, the father of all those who have become sons of God through the saving grace of Christ the Priest. Should a priest never personally communicate to others the principle of divine life, he would nevertheless be truly a father; for by his priesthood he participates in the fatherhood of God, which is the fundamental claim to true setterities.

However, even if we were unable to come to a knowledge of the participation of the priest in the divine paternity by his sharing Christ's prienthood, it would be evident that the priest is a father in as much as the performance of those functions which are proper to a father pertains to the very nature of the sacerdoal office. In other words, each and every duty which belongs to the integral nature of participated fatherhood is, in the supernatural order, a function remover to miests of the New Law.

From the very notion of fatherhood we know that it belongs to the appearant office to beget offspring and to bring that offspring to its integral perfection. Now the requisites for the life of an individual in the natural and supernatural order are parallel. Everyone recognices the five following indispensable needs for the natural life of the individual man: birth, growth, nourishment, the removal of disease, and the increase of waning strength. Therefore, the supplying of the foregoing necessities belongs properly to the office of a father.

In order to live the supernatural life man must be born spiritully and his few must be preserved and increased. But as we have supernature in the supernatural life by the influx into his supernatural life by the influx into his out of the greece won for him by the pricely sacrifice of Christ. It is this grace which is the principle of man's new life. Consequently, those who communicate to man this grace and preserve it in his soal are fathers in as much as by so acting they perform functions which pertain to the very nature of fatherhood.

For the communication of this divine life to the souls of men Curist instituted the seven sacraments of the New Law. It is well to note that He instituted seven sacraments, not more nor less, because the requisites for the individual and social life of man in the supernatural order, as well as in the natural order, are seven. The Cutechism of the Council of Trent, in a beautiful analogy between the natural and spiritual life which it browns from St. Themas, shows the fittingness of seven sacraments for the communication and preseration of sumeratural life.

"In order to exist, to preserve existence, and to contribute to his own and to the public good, seven things seem necessary to man: to be born, to grow, to be mutured, to be necessary to man: to be born, to grow, to be mutured, to be cured when sick, when weak to be strengthened; as far as regards the public weal: to have magistrates invested with authority to govern, and, finally, to prepretate himself and his species by legitimate offspring. Analogous then as all these things obviously are to that life by which the soul lives to God, we discover in them a reason to account for the unmber of the ascaraments. Anongs them, the first is Baptism, the gate, as it were, to all the other sacraments, by which we are born again to Christ. The next is Confirms—

tion, by which we grow up, and are strengthened in the grace of God: for, as St. Augustine observes, "to the Apostles who have already received bantism, the Redeemer said: 'etay you in the city till you be imbued with power from on high." The third is the Eucharist, that true bread from heaven which nourishes our souls to eternal life, according to these words of the Saviour: "My flesh is meat indeed, and My blood is drink indeed." The fourth is Penance, by which the soul, which has caught the contagion of sin, is restored to spiritual health. The fifth is Extreme Unction, which obliterates the traces of sin, and invigorates the powers of the soul; of which St. James says: "if he be in sins, they shall be forgiven him." The sixth is Holy Orders, which gives power to perpetuate in the Church the public administration of the sacraments, and the exercise of all the sacred functions of the ministry. The seventh and last is Matrimony, a sacrament instituted for the legitimate union of man and woman, for the conservation of the human race, and the education of children, in the knowledge of religion, and the love and fear of God.1

¹ Catechis. Concilii Trident., Pars II, Cap. 1, No. 20-21. Cf. Summa Theol., III. q. 65. a. 1: Vita enim spiritualis conformitatem aliquam habet ad vitam corporalem: sicut et cetera corporalia similitudinem quandam spiritualium habent. In vita autem corporali dupliciter aliquis perficitur: uno modo, quantum ad personam propriam; alio modo, per respectum ad totam communitatem societatis in qua vivit, quia homo naturaliter est animal sociale. Respectu autem sui ipsius perficitur homo in vita corporali dupliciter: uno modo per se, acquirendo scilicet aliquam vitae perfectionem; alio modo, per accidens, scilicet removendo impedimenta vitae nuta aggritudines, vel aliquid huiusmodi. Per se autem perficitur corporalis vita trioliciter. Primo ouidem, per generationem, per quam homo incipit esse at vivere. Et loco bujus in spirituali vita est baptismus, qui est spiritualis regeneratio: secundum illud ad Tit. III; Per latucrum regenerationis, etc. - Secunda, per augmentum, quo aliquis perducitur ad perfectam quantitatem et virtutem. Et loco huius in spirituali vita est confirmatio, in qua datur Spiritus Sanctus ad robur. Unde dicitur discipulis iam baptizatis, Luc. ult.: Sedete in civitate quousque indugmini virtute ex alto,-Tertio, per nutritionem, qua conservatur in homine vita et virtus. Et loco huius in spirituali vita

Of these seven sacraments the first five are necessary for the life of the individual. Therefore the minister of these five sacraments is truly a father; for in administering them he generates new creatures and brings those creatures to their perfection.

By virtue of his sacerdotal office, the priest is the ordinary dispenser of the grace of the sacraments and it pertains to the very essence of the priestly office to administer the first five sacraments. Moreover, it is the five functions performed in the administration of these sacraments which constitute the integral nature of participated fatherhood. Consequently, by reason of his sacramental ministry the priest is truly a father.

priest is truly a later.

The priest is not the minister of the sacraments of Holy Orders
and Martimorp because the grace communicated by these sacraments
is not directly ordered to superstantial generation and the perfection
of the individual. The needs supplied by these teachments are
necessitien not of the individual, but of the size of the perfection
for the perpetuation of society; the one by grid and order. Both provide
constitute most laters in the superstantial order, the other primating
ing the grace to dispose men to become good fashers in the natural
order. But nother to constitute most laters not to directly dispose

est Eucharistio, Unde dicitur Ioan, VI: Nisi manducaveritis carnem Filli hominis et bilicritis eius sonauisem, non habebilis vitam in vobis.

El hoc quiden sufficere homini si haberte et corporaliter et spiritualiter ganaldine vitaus e qui ab homi loureri tritendum et corporalitem infimialatem et spiritualem, esiliet specatem, don occesaria est homini curatio ab infimiatac. Osae quiden en doque. Una quiden en stantio, quas astinitatem restituit, and proposition de la compania del c

Perfectiur autem homo in ordine ad totam communistatem dopliciter. Uno modo, per bne quad script potestatem regord multitudenne, et exerceroid actus publicos. Et loco huius in spirituali vita est sacramentum ordinér: secundum illus Heb. PII, quod serdonés hostian offerunt non solom pro se, sed etiam pro pupulo—Secundo, quantum ad naturalem propogationem. Quad fix per motiral vita eva quod est non soloma sacramentum, sed natures delle muim reprintada vita: eva quod est non soloma sacramentum, sed natures delle muim reprintada vita: eva quod est non soloma sacramentum, sed natures delle muim reprintada vita eva quod est non soloma sacramentum, sed natures delle muim reprintada vita eva quod est non soloma sacramentum, sed natures delle muim reprintada vita eva que soloma sacramentum, sed natures delle muim reprintada vita eva que sono delle muim reprintada vita eva delle muim reprintada vita delle muim reprintada vita est delle muim reprintada vita

men for the fitting exercise of the paternal functions pertains properly to the office of a father. Hence, one in so acting does not function properly in the office of fatherhood, although such action is intimately connected with it. Consequently, any man in assuming the office of either a natural or spiritual father must do so of his own accord-Neither his natural or spiritual father can compel him to do so, for they have no authority in this matter, which is ordained to the public and not to the individual good.

Since it pertains to the very essence of the priesthood to administer those sacraments, and only those sacraments, which are directly ordained to spiritual birth and to the perfection of supernatural generation, it is evident that the priestly office is, of its very nature, a naternal office. The priest is a principle of the transmission and the preservation of the divine life in the souls of men. The divinity of Christ the Priest is, of course, the primary principle of this divine life, but in as much as the Great High Priest is no longer visibly present upon this earth He has deputed His priests to act in His person² in the communication of this supernatural life. That Christ has given those who are configured to Him by the sacramental character of Holy Orders the power to communicate this new life by administering the sacraments necessary for man's generation and continued existence in the supernatural order is a doctrine defined by the Church,3 and evident from the words of Sacred Scripture.4

² PIUS XII, Encyclica Mediator Dei et hominum, (Roma: 1947). Idem itaque sacerdos, Christus Iesus, cuius quidem sacram personam eius administer gerit. Hie siquidem, ob consecrationem quam accepit sacerdotalem, Summo Sacerdoti assimulatur, ac potestate fruitur operandi virtute ac persona ipsius Christi. Quamobrem actione sua sacerdotali Christo quodammodo "linguam suum commodat, manum porrigit."

⁸ Joannes XXII. Constitut. "Gloriozam Ecclesiam," 23 Jan., 1318; Denz. 486. S. Pius V, Bulla "Ex omnibus affictionibus," 1 Oct., 1567; Denz. 1058.

⁴¹ Cor., iv. 1: Sic nos existimet homo ut ministros Christi, et dispensatores mysteriorum Dei. Matt., xxviii, 19: Euntes ergo docete omnes gentes, haptirantes eas. . . .

Luke, xxii, 19: Et accepto pane gratias egit, et fregit, et dedit eis, dicens:

While the Divinity of Christ is the primary principle in the communication of divine life, there are, besides the sacraments, two secondary and instrumental principles, one of which is conjoined to the Divinity, the other of which is separated. The Humanity of Christ is the secondary conjoined principle, whereas the priest is the secondary separated principle. All these principles operate in the communication of divine life; moreover, the secondary separated principle, or the priest, has the same power as the conjoined principle in as much as the former has given His power to the latter.5 Hence the total effect of the simultaneous operation of all these principles is attributed to each of them;6 all of them are causes of grace, of supernatural life. However, the three secondary principles do not operate in the same way in the transmission of grace.7 The Humanity of Christ and the Priest are animated instruments of the Divinity and therefore operate personally. The Sacraments on the other hand, being inanimated principles cannot operate personally. Since the relationship of fatherhood can be properly attributed only to living, knowing beings,8 it cannot

Hoe est corpus meum quod pro vobis datur; hoe facite in meam commemorationem.

John, xx, 23: Accipite Spiritum Sanctum: quorum remiseritis peccata, remittuntur eis; et quorum retinueritis, retenta sunt.

James, v. 14: Infrantur quis in vobis? inducat presbyteros ecclesiae, et

orec, v. 1-7. Infirmator quis in vobies' inducat presbyteros ecclesiae, et oreus super eum, ungentes eum oleo in nomine Domini.

6 Mat., xxviii, 18; John, xx, 21. Cf. Summa Theol., III, q. 64, aa. 1. 3.

⁻ storr, xxviii, 18: John, xx, 21. Cf. Summa Theol., III, q. 64, aa. 1, 3. 6 Summa Contra Genilles, L. III, cap. 70: . . . idem effectus totus attribuitur instrumento; et principali agenti etiam totus.

^{1/}V Sent., d. 8, q. 2, a. 3, ad 9: Dicendum quod quando aliquod opus perficitur pluribus instrumentis, virtus instrumentalis non est complete in uno, sed incomplete in utroque, sicut manu et penna seribitur.

Et . . . quia virtus instrumentalis ad faciendum praedictam conversionem non tantum est in verbo sed in sacerdote; sed in utroque incomplete, quia nec sacerdos sine verbo, nec verbum sine sacerdote conficere potest.

Et quia sacretos est similtor principali segunt quana verbum, quia gerti ejus guram, ideo, ripulcitier loquevedo, non trizes infarementalis est majore est dignior, unde etiam permanet et ad muitos bujumendi effectus se habet, virtus autem verbi transist et ad semel tantum est; ard secondos quid est potentiar virtus verbi, inquantum effectul propinquior, quasi signum ipaius: sicut etiam penna est seripturas propinquior, et manus seribenti.

⁸ ST. THOMAS, Ad Ephenios, cap. III. lect. 4.

be properly attributed to the sacraments. But fatherhood, the relationship of the principle of generation in perfect living beings, is rightly attributed to Christ and the priest in as much as they act personally as animated principles of a true generation.

The rôle of the priest in the communication of divine life is more readily seeen from its place in the following diagram.



in the order of intrinsic causality because he is a secondary principle in the production of a human being, so a priest, denominate fasher in the order of extrinsic causality, is a secondary, although instrumental, principle after God in the communication of spiritual life. Since, then, those who share in the priestatood of Christ by a

sacerdotal character are truly efficient, instrumental principles of supernatural generation, and since to such principles is rightly attributed paternity, there can be no doubt concerning the priest's claim to fatherhood by reason of his sacramental ministry.

We shall now show how in administering each of the sacraments necessary for integral spiritual life the priest performs a function proper to the office of fatherhood.

The Sacrament by which men are born into the supernatural life

is Baptism.10 For this reason St. Paul refers to it as "the laver of

⁹ Summa Theol., II-II, q. 101, a. 1: Deus . . . est nobis essendi . . . primum principium. Secundario vero nostri esse . . . principium sunt parentes.

¹⁰ Conc. Trident., sess. ii, cap. II; Denz. 895; Rom., vi, 1-8.

regeneration." I Through Baptian sanctifying grace is infused into men's souls so that they become partakers of the doine nature and are thus made sous of God. Since, however, it is the priest who is the ordinary minister of Baptism and since this sacrament effects a transmission of a father. It is by a right inherent in the saccedual office that the priest administers this sacrament; for "... by Baptism as man becomes a participator in ecelesiatical unity, wherefore also he reviews the right to approach Our Lord's Table. Consequently, just as it belongs to a priest to consecrate the Eucharist, which is the principal cause of the priesthood, so it is the proper office of a priest to baptier; since it belongs to one and the same to produce the whole and to dispose the part in the whole."

The priest, then, is a spiritual father participating in the supernutual fatherhood of Christ, for he is the principle of main generation into the divine life. Hence, says St. John Chrysostom: "It is to priests that spiritual hirth and regeneration by Bagistim is entrusted. By them we put on Christ and are united to the Son of God and become partaken of that Besset hoad. Hence we should regard them as more suguest than princes and kings and more venerable than parses. For the latter begot us of Blood and the flesh, but priests are the cause of our generation from God, of our spiritual regeneration, of our true freedom and sombia according to a recommendation.

¹¹ Titur. iii. 5.

¹³ Summa Tkeel, III, q. 67, a. 2: Per baptismum autem aliquis fit particeps estatistics unitatis: unde et accipit ins accedendi ad mensam Domini. Et ideo, sicut ad sascerdotem pertinet consecrare Eucharistiam, ad quod principalite ordinatur sacerdotium, ita ad proprium officium sacerdotis pertinet baptisare; eiusdem ceimi videtur esse operari totum, et partem in toto disponere.

¹⁸ Dr. Secretolis, Dh. III, n. In PO. XLVIII, 643-44; Hi namque sunt, hi sunt, cubus concreti mun spirituales partus et generatio per lugifismum; per box Christmu indulmus, et um Fliab De spellmum; membra best illius copperation formation indulmus, et um Fliab De spellmum; tento mole best illius copperation respellmum; ten zon mole best illius copperation et um vererabiliores nobis iure fueritu. Illi emi ne assagnishtes sueve voluntitat carning organizarus; il vi guar ex De est generationia auctores sont, beatae enepe illius reginerationis, vera liberationis, et illius quae excomdum gratiante si tradicionis.

words of the great Father of the Church it is obvious that he ascribes to the priest the conferring of divine sonship, which is the effect of the priestly sacrifice of Christ, in Whose priesthood he participates.

Baptism alone, however, like human birth, does not fulfill all the implications integral to the generation of a being which has not yet reached its perfection. Should the newly born spiritual child be left to himself, he would not grow to maturity, he would be helpless against the attacks of the enemies of his spiritual life, or he would die from the lack of care and nourishment.

Just as in the natural order it is necessary that a child be brought to maturity and be strengthened to that he will be shift to reper law, advances of the enemies of his natural life, so, too, the spirmal chain use be strengthened so that he will be able to ward off any attack upon his supernatural life. For this purpose he receives a more abnormal contraction of the property of the supernatural life. For this purpose he receives a more abnormal compared to laspitism as a growth to generation. *Confirmant in the compared to laspitism as a growth to generation. *Confirmation bringing the child to spiritual antempt.'y *Bor by it "the fallness of the Holy Choos is given for the spiritual strength that belongs to the Holy Choos is given for the spiritual strength that belongs to the profess age. *108 by the character impressed upon the soul in this suc-

¹⁴ Summa Theol., 111, q. 72, a. 1: Sacramenta novae legis ordinantur ad speciales gratiae effectus; et ideo, ubi occurrit aliquis specialis effectus gratiae, ibi ordinatur speciale sacramentum. Quia vero sensibilia et corporalia gerunt spiritualium et intelligibilium similitudinem, ex his quae in vita corporali aguntur, percipere possumus quid in spirituali vita speciale existat. Manifestum est autem quod in vita corporali specialis quaedam perfectio est quod homo ad profectam aetatem perveniat, et perfectas actiones hominis agere possit: unde et Apostolus dicit. I Cor. XIII: Cum quiem factus sum vir, essenati quae erant parvuli. Et inde etiam est quod, praeter motum generationis, quo aliquis accipit vitam corporalem, est motus augmenti, quo aliquis perducitur ad perfectam aetatem. Sic igitur et vitam spiritualem homo accipit per baptismum, qui est spiritualis regeneratio. In confirmatione autem homo accipit quasi quandam actatem perfectam spiritualis vitae. Unde Melchiades Pana dicit: Spiritus Sanctus, qui super aquas baptismi salutifero descendit lapsu, in fonte plenitudinem, tribuit ad innocentiam in confirmatione augmentum praestat ad gratiam. In baptismo regeneramur ad vitam, post baptismum roboramur.

¹⁶ Ibid., a. 2: In hoc sacramento (Confirmationis) datur plenitudo Spiritus Sancti ad robur spirituale, quod competit perfectae aetati.

rament, the recipient has a perpetual title to actual divine assistance in the defense of his spiritual life.¹⁷

In administering Confirmation, the priest is perfecting and bringing to spiritual maturity the child begotten in Baptism. He is thus performing a paternal act which pertains to the perfection of his spiritual child. While the simple priest is not the ordinary minister of Confirmation, when he does administer this sacrament, he is performing a function which belongs primarily to the sacerdotal office: for to confirm is an act of Orders. While the general practice of the Church has ever been that Bishops should administer this sacrament. the fundamental reason why they can administer it is because of the power they have by reason of their sacerdotal character. This is evident from the fact that in the eastern Churches the priests are commonly the ministers and their administrations are recognized by the Church as valid.18 However, the Bishop, not the priest, is the ordinary minister of this sacrament, as the Council of Trent explicitly declares.19 For valid administration of Confirmation the priests needs the delegation of the Supreme Pontiff. But since by delegation the character received in Holy Orders is in no way changed, the fact remains that the power to confirm arises from the sacerdotal character, even though this power may not be validly exercised without proper delegation.

Thus the administration of this sacrament, whether it be done by a Bishop or a simple priest, is an act that is performed primarily in virtue of participation in Christ's priesthood. And of its very nature it is a paternal act for it has as its purpose the perfection of children regenerated in Baptism.

Not only must a child be free from outward attack, but he must be nurtured so that the spiritual life within him may be preserved and

¹⁷ Cf. Ibid., a. 5.

¹⁸ Cf. Codex Iuris Canonici, can. 782, No. 5.

¹⁰ Sess. vii, Conones de Sacromento Confirmationis, can. 3; Denz. 873; Si quis dixerit, sanctae confirmationis ordinarium ministrum non esse solum episcopum, sed quenvis simplicem sacerdotem; A.S.

perfected.³⁰ In view of this fact Christ instituted the accusants of the Holy Eucharité for nouvishing the supernatural life of His children. The effect of the Eucharits is signified in the ensurer in which it is given, that is, by awy of food. "And the surface to the start of the start o

Just as man's natural life is suntained by material food, no, too, his spiritual life is suntained and invigorated by this Pread of Life. This spiritual foot not only sugments the supernatural life received in Baption, but "whatever losses the soul satisatis by falling into some slight offenses, these the Eucharist, which cancels lesser sins, repairs in the same manner (not to depart from the illustration aiready siduced) that natural food, as we know from experience, gradually repairs the daily waste caused by the visal hear of the years. Of this heavenly Sacrament justly, therefore, has \$2. Augustine said: This daily brend is then as a remedy for daily infirmity."

The priest alone is the ordinary minister of this Living Bread, as is evident from the words of Christ to His Apostles, "Do this for a commemoration of Me," by which words priests alone were designated.²⁴

²⁹ Cf. Summa Theol., III, q. 79, a. 1, ad 1.

²¹ fbid., corpus: Et ideo omnem effectum quem cibus et potus materialis facit quantum ad vitam corporalem, quod scilicet sustesat, auget, reparat et delectat, hoc totum facit hoc sacramentum quantum ad vitam spiritualem.
22 fohn, vi, 56.

^{20.} Carcchie. Concilii Trident., Pars. II. cap. 4, No. 52: Quidoude dimu cupiditatisis ardore anima amisi, dinu heri aliquis in re paramo offentii, id totum cupiditatisis ardore anima amisi, dinu beri aliquis in re paramo offentii, id totum cupiditatisis. Paramo offentii di totum cupiditatisis ardore anima capitatisis anima capitatis anima capitat

[&]quot;Iste panis quotidianus sumitur in remedium quotidianae infirmatatis."

24 Cl. Coder Iuris Canonici, can. 845, No. 1; also Conc. Trident., sess. xiii, c. 1.

Since the dispensing of the Eucharist is manifestly a spiritual feeding, a means of preserving and augmenting the supernatural life given in Bagisien, and since such an act is proper to a father, there can be no doubt that the priest in administering this Sacrament is, indeed, functioning as a spiritual father.

Besides nourishing his children, a father has also the duty of restoring them to health when they are afflicted with sickness and disease. This is done in the natural order by procuring the proper medicaments and by providing special care. In the sacrament of Penance. Christ has provided for the cure of His spiritual children who are suffering from the disease of sin; for "as a father hath compassion on his children, so hath the Lord compassion on them that fear Him."25 It is He "Who forgiveth all thy iniquities, who healeth all thy diseases,"26 In this sacrament there is applied the grace that heals the wounds of the soul. Penance also makes provision for the paternal instruction and admonition necessary for the preservation and improvement of spiritual health. Just as the natural child must be taught the habits of good health, so, too, the child of God must be instructed in the rules for safeguarding his spiritual health. Thus this sacrament furnishes not only a cure for spiritual sickness, but it also gives individual instruction and direction which will enable its recipient to live the supernatural life in its fullness.

Priests, and priests alone, are the ministers of this sacrament; for Christ's words, "Whose sins you shall forgive, they are forgiven them; and whose sins you shall pretain, they are retained," were directed to them alone. As can be readily seen, all the duties of the minister of the sacrament of Penance are paternal ones; for a father is obliged to care for his child in time of sickness, and to rule, govern and instruct his no othat he may reach the perfection of life. Therefore, the priest's rôle in this sacrament is above all else that of a father.

²⁵ Psalm cii, 13.

²⁶ Ibid., 3. 27 John, xx, 23.

A father must care for his children not only in time of mortal sickness, but he must provide a cure for their less serious illnesses. Moreover, after the child has been restored to health, it is the duty of his father to see to the removal of any defect, debility or weakness which results from the sickness. The father must take care especially that the enemies of the life of the child are not permitted to take advantage of such debility and weakness.

In the supernatural order the child is often sick with the less devastating disease of venial sin. Moreover, he is being constantly weakened by the effects which remain after sin, especially original sin.28 Because of the debilitating effects of these remains of sin, the spiritual child needs special strength and assistance to overcome those who would prevent his attaining the perfection of his supernatural life. The time at which the enemies of his soul will be more prodigious than ever in their efforts to take advantage of such weakness is at the hour of death; for this is the last opportunity they have of preventing the child of God from reaching the stature of "the perfect man" in the life of glory.

Provision has been made for this need in the sacrament of Extreme Unction; for ". . . as in the other sacraments, our Redeemer prepared the greatest aids whereby during life Christians may preserve themselves whole from every grievous spiritual evil, so did He guard the close of life, by the sacrament of Extreme Unction, as with a most firm defense. For though our adversary seeks and seizes opportunities all our life long to be able in any way to devour our souls, vet there is no time wherein he strains more vehemently all the powers of his craft to ruin us utterly, and if he can possibly, to make us fall from trust in the mercy of God, than when he perceives the end of our life is at hand."29

²⁸ Cf. Summa Theol., Suppl., q. 30, a. 1.

²⁰ Cone, Trident., sess. xiv. cap. 9; Denz. 907: .. Redemptor noster, qui servis suis quovis tempore voluit de salutaribus remediis adversus omnia omnium hostium tela esse prospectum, quemadmodum auxilia maxima in sacramentis aliis praeparavit, quibus Christiani conservare se integros, dum viverent, ab omni graviore

Extreme Unction not only cures the disease of sin, but it also removes all the debilities that result from sin. 80 It also gives strength to the child of God at the very moment it needs it most, so that he may overcome the violent assaults of his enemies and thus attain the

perfection of life.

"The proper ministers of this sacrament," says the Council of Trent, "are the presbyters of the Church by which name are to be understood... either bishops or priests, rightly ordained by the imposition of the hands of the priesthood."³⁴

Since, then, priests are properly the ministers of Extreme Unction, and in as much as the functions performed by the ministers of this sacrament pertain directly to the paternal office, the priest in administering it is truly a father.

spiritus incommodo possini i ila axtremae uncionis ascramento finem vitae turquam firmissimo quodam praesidio mauriti. Nam etti adveraruius noster occasiones per onuem vitam merce territoria per appropriato de la compania della compania supernodo possiti insulina manere territoria con que que premior a facilitar insulinario del tita enervos intendat ad personale populario, et a discula cation, ji positi, divinen miscircolar desturbibilos, quam cum impendere nodas existum vitae perspicit. Programma Thora Ino sei su compania con la disculparia positi.

⁸³ Sess. xiv, cap. 3: Proprios huius sacramenti ministras esse Ecclesiae presbyteros, quo nomine intelligendi . . . aut episcopi aut sacerdotes ab ipsis rodinati per impositionem manuum presbyteri. Cf. Canones de Extrema Unctione, can. 4: Denr. 882.

but they also have the power to remit sins committed after regeneration. Moreover, parents according to nature can be of no assistance to their children if they chance offend anyone in dignity and power. But priests have often reconciled them, not with kings or princes, but with God Himself when incensed against them.²³

²⁸ De Sauredorio, Ids. III. n. d., is P. G. XLVIII, 144. . . . milcon aucedinius quana priestonia postatavine dudi Dans. tatelaga esti inter atraspate differentia, quanta interi praesentente el funtame viana. Nami ill quésime in have aixan, hi in futurem giuntumi tapue di les corporation quebes inicirium a simulati praesentia proprieta produci inicirium a monor intertituram nationan sarge reviranti. . . Noge entire tatame cam non experentari, a cli cimi por representame inimise poecia condense possuni. Imager naturaties parentes, il civi su quoidem principe el protesse semu liberi por contrata del principe del protesse semu liberi por contrata del principe del protesse semu liberi por conque a protesse semu liberia por conque a protesse semu liberia por conque a protesse semu liberia del protesse semu liberia del

CONCLUSION

We have established by solid theological arguments that the priest is, indeed, the spiritual father of all, But such argumentation is of little value if its conclusion is not to be the driving force of priestly life. This doctrine imposes upon the priest the obligation of seeing in himself a spiritual father, for to be ignorant of this Godgiven rôle is to fail to understand the nature of the priesthood with the consequent impossibility of properly discharging its sacred obligations. In realizing that he is the spiritual father of the whole world. that it is of the very essence of the priestly office to take the place of Christ here on earth as the new Eather of men the conscientions priest cannot fail to see his obligation to conform himself more and more to his divine Model. He will study eagerly the life of Christ in search of His paternal virtues so that he may know just what virtues should be the special equipment of his own priestly life. Children are something of their father, they become like their father; and since the priest is a father who stands in the place of Christ, he must strive to have, in so far as it is possible, every paternal virtue which is to be found in Christ Himself so that his children, through him, may be more perfectly conformed to their Blessed Saviour. The matter of uncovering and delineating the precisely paternal virtues in the life of Christ is a study well worth development, as it will afford the priest very definite ideals to guide him in preparing himself for the fitting exercise of his paternal office.

In recognizing the nature and obligations of his rôle as spiritude in the present annot but have a greater appreciation of the divine Fatherhood within the Godhead as well as of the participations in this divine Paternity by himself and human fathers. He will understand that all his strivings, as indeed the efforts of all fathers, are but a

reflection of the eternal divine action within the Blessed Trinity. He and all fathers are thus seen in their admirable rôles as coöperators with God in the communication of life.

Since the priest is to communicate and preserve spiritual life throughout the world, his obligations are without limit. First of all, there is the duty of teaching men that he is really and truly their father, and that consequently they have the right to expect of livery possible means of help for preserving and increasing the supernatural life in their soois. This the priest must teach by word; but her truth and implications of this doctrine will be more eloquently preached by the example of his life. The priest who is ever cager to communicate and preserve the drivine life in the soois of men lets pass no opportunity to administer the Sacraments. Never will be go to the confessional widthout giving a word of paternal instruction and encouragement to lead his children to a more abundant sharing in the treasures of the spiritual life.

Just as no problem which concerns the progress of the natural life of his children escapes the vigilance of a human father, so, too, no action which has to do with the spiritual development of the child lies beyond the orbit of sacerdotal duty. In as much as every human action is good or bad, and therefore beneficial or detrimental to spiritual life, it is difficult to conceive of any human affair which does not concern the priest. This does not mean that one can do nothing without first consulting a priest, but it does imply that the priest has the duty of equipping his children with the knowledge necessary to perform all their actions well, to supernaturalize them, thus making them meritorious for improved spiritual health here below and for the perfection of spiritual life in the world to come. Nor is it always necessary that the priest personally perform all his paternal functions. There are, indeed, many which can and must be delegated, just as in the natural order parents must depute others to assist them in educating and safeguarding their children.

While spiritual fatherhood imposes upon the priest the cares and

CONCLUSION

obligations of a father, it lays upon the faithful the duties of children. They are bound to love, honor and respect the fathers of their spirtual lives. When the faithful begin to see the priest as he really is, the father and guardian of their souls, they will rush to him with all their problems, and much of the evil and unhappiness which results from a lack of paternal care and advice will be avoided. Those who are warking their brains for a cure for the evils of our times and especially for the delinquency of the young should look well to this looser relationship between priest and people. In the spiritual life all men are children, and when they find in their priests all-lowing fathers who are cagerly availing to help them, evils will be creditated and progress in virtue and happiness will come and come quickly. Should this doctrine become a living fact, a trust which, as God

intended, would influence men's lives, there would be thrown open wide the road to peace and happiness. The faithful would have at their service an army of zealous fathers whose only thought would be to assist them in progressing in the spiritual life. The priests would have to work more, it is true; they would be overburdend, but they would be filled with a happiness which is but a foretaste of that acternal joy which will be theirs, when, at the end of their labors, they can report to their Master: "I have suffered the little children to come most Yax."

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ALPHONSUS, ST., Dignity and Duties of the Priest, or, Selva; (New York: 1888).
- AQUINAS, ST. THOMAS, Opera Omnia, ed. Leonina, t. IV-XV. Opera Omnia, ed. Parmae, t. XXV. (1862-1873).
 - Summa Theologica, English Dominican trans., (London, 1912-1925). Scriptum super Libras Sententiarum, vols. 1-4 (Paris: 1947). Ouarstiones Disputatae, (Turino: 1942).
 - Quarstows: Divas Elikeorum Aristotelis ad Nicomachum, (Turino: 1934). In Metaphysicam Aristotelis Commentario, ed. Cathala, (Taurini: 1926). Opusculo Omnio, (Paris: 1927).
 - Opurcus Ommis, value S. Pauli Apostoli Epistolas, (Taurini: 1929), Commentario in Omnes S. Pauli Apostoli Epistolas, (Taurini: 1929), Commentary on the Four Gospels from the Fathers, Vol. 1, St. Matthew, (Oxford: 1842).
 - ARISTOTLE, The Basic Works of Aristotle, edited with an introduction by Richard McKeon, (New York: 1941).0
 - ⁶This edition is an adaptation of the longer multi-volume Oxford translation of Bekker's critical edition.
- AGUIRRE, CARD., O.S.B., Philosophia Rationalis Nova-antiqua sive disp. selectae in Logicam et Metaph. Aristotelis, (Salmanticae: 1675).
- BARDY, GUSTAVE, Le Sacerdoce Chretien d'apres les Peres? "La Vie Spirituelle," LIII, (Paris: 1937).
- CAIETANUS, CARD. THOMAS DE VIO, De Nominum Analogia, ed. Zammit (Romae: 1934). Catechismus Concilii Tridentine. (Romae: 1907).
- CHRYSOSTOM, ST. JOHN, Dr. Sacerdotio, P.G., Vol. XLVIII. The Priest-hood, (Westminister, Md.: 1943).
- CODEX IURIS CANONICI, (Romae: 1918).
 CONTENSON, O.P., V. Theologia Mentis et Cordis, t. III, IV, (Augustae
- Taurinorum: 1770).

 DENZINGER-BANNWART, Enchiridion Symbolorum, (Friburgi Brisgoviae: 1922).
- DURST, BERNARDUS, O.S.B., De Characteribus Sacramentalibus, "Xenia Thomistica," Vol. II, 1924.
- FARRELL, WALTER, O.P., Virtues of the Household, "The Thomist," Vol. IX. (Washington: 1946)

GARRIGOU-LAGRANGE, REGINALDUS, O.P., De Deo Trino et Creatore, (Taurini: 1944).

De Gratia, (Torino: 1947).

De Christo Salvatore, (Torino: 1945).

De Sanctificatione Sacerdotum, (Torino: 1948). De Paternitate Sancti Joseph, "Angelicum," Vol. XXII, (Romae: 1945). GAZZANIGA, P. M., O.P., Praelectiones Theologicae, t. III, (Venetiis: 1819).

GIBBONS, JAS., The Ambassador of Christ, (Baltimore: 1908).

GONZALES, J., O.P., Commentaria in I Partem D. Thomae, t. II, (Neapoli: 1607)

GOUDIN, A., Tractatus Theologicus, (Paris: 1874).

GREDT, IOSEPHUS, O.S.B., Elementa Philosophiae Aristotelico-Thomisticar, ed quarta, (Friburgi Brisgoviae: 1926).

JOANNES A. S. THOMA, O.P., Cursus Philosophicus Thomisticus, ed. B. Reiser, O.S.B., (Taurini, 1930-37).

HESTON, E. L., C.S.C., The Pricst of the Fathers, (Milwaukee: 1945). HUGON, ED., O.P., Tractatus Doomatici. (Parisiis: 1931).

KAVANAGH, W. A., Lay Participation in Christ's Priesthood, (Washington:

1935).

KEATINGE, JOHN, The Priest, His Character and Work, (New York:

LAGRANGE, M.-J., O.P., Saint Jean. (Paris: 1936).

The Gospel of Jesus Christ, (London: 1938).

LEO THE GREAT, ST., The Incornation, 2 ed., trans. by William Bright, (London: 1886).

LEON, FRAY LUIS DE, The Names of Christ, trans. by a Benedictine of Stanbrook, (New York: 1926).

LUMBRERAS, PETRUS, O.P., De Gratia, (Romae: 1947).

MANNING, H. E., The Eternal Priesthood, (Westminster, Md.: 1944).

MERKELBACH, B. H., O.P., Summa Theologia Moralis, 3 ed., Vol. III,

Mariologia, (Parisis: 1939).

MILLET-BYRNE, Jesus Living in the Priest, 3 ed., (New York: 1901).

PENIDO, M. T.-L., Le Rôle de l'Analogie en Théologie Dogmatique, (Paris: 1931).

POPE PIUS XII, Lettera Encyclica "Mediator Dei et hominum," (Romae: 1947).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

PRUMMER, D. M., O.P., Manuale Theologiae Moralis, t. III, (Friburgi Bris-

goviae: 1915).

RAMIREZ, J. M., O.P., De Analogia Secundum Doctrinam Aristotelico.

Thomisticam. (Extract. ex La Ciencia Tomista July 1921-Jan 1922)

Matriti. 1922.

SALMANTICENSES, Cursus Theologicus, vols. 20, (Paris: 1870-1893).

SIRVAITIS. C. P., Casti Connubii Monita de Iuribus et Officiis Patrisfamilias,

(Washington: 1943).

STOCKUMS-GRUNDER, The Priesthood. (St. Louis: 1942).

TIXERONT-RAEMERS. Holy Orders and Ordination, (St. Louis: 1928).

VONIER, DOM ANSCAR, A Key to the Doctrine of the Eucharist, (Westminster. Md.: 1928).

VOSTE, I. M., O.P., Studia Paulina, (Romae: 1928).

Studia Theologiae Biblicae N.T. Vol. II. (Romae: 1934).

WESTCOTT, B. F., The Historic Faith, 4 ed., (London: 1890).

ZUBIZARRETA, O., O. CARM., Thrologia Dogmatico-Scholastics, (Bilbao)

1937).