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#### Abstract

A new version of the Buckingham pi theorem is presented which reveals the underlying mathematical structure of that classical result. In this context it becomes a theorem in linear algebra, and it is formulated without reference to physical quantities, units, dimensions, and so on. Also, the classical approach of Birkhoff is reviewed and some points in his proof are expanded.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

As all students of science and engineering know, equations must be dimensionally homogeneous; that is, all terms in an equation must have the same units-one cannot add apples and oranges. This simple observation forms the basis of what is called dimensional analysis. But it goes far deeper than that. The methods of dimensional analysis developed over the last century or so have led to important results in determining the nature of physical phenomena even when the governing equations were not known. This has been especially true in continuum mechanics, out of which the general methods of dimensional analysis evolved.

The most fundamental result in dimensional analysis is the pi theorem. Roughly it states that if there is a physical law which gives a relation among certain physical quantities, then there is an equivalent law which is expressed as a relation among certain dimensionless quantities (often denoted $\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}, \ldots$, and hence the name). One of the most famous examples of dimensional reasoning was the derivation, by G. I. Taylor [8], of the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=t^{2 / 5}\left(\frac{E}{\rho_{0}}\right)^{1 / 5} f(\gamma) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which relates the radius $r$ of a spherical blast wave produced by the release of a quantity of energy $E$, at a point in air of density $\rho_{0}$ and polytropic index $\gamma$, to the two-fifths power of the time $t$. Equation (1) follows from the assumption that there is a physical law of the form $g\left(t, r, \rho_{0}, E, \gamma\right)=0$. The pi theorem guarantees that there is an equivalent physical law relating the dimensionless quantities in the problem. Here there are two dimensionless quantities,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{1}-\gamma, \quad \Pi_{2}=\frac{r^{5} \rho_{0}}{t^{2} E} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence there is a physical law, equivalent to $g=0$, relating $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$. This new law is then of the form $F\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}\right)=0$, from which we obtain (1). The pi theorem is also widely used in computing "dimensionless groups," i.e., local Lie groups under which partial differential equations are invariant; these give rise to special classes of solutions called similarity solutions which play an important role in many applications (see Bluman and Cole [2]).

The pi theorem appears to have been first stated by A. Vaschy [9] in 1892. Later, in 1914, E. Buckingham [4] gave the first proof of the pi theorem for special cases, and now the theorem often carries his name. Riabouchinsky and Martinot-Lagarde [6] have given a more general proof, and G. Birkhoff [1] has clarified the proof still further. There has been much discussion of the formulation and applicability of the pi theorem. Bridgman [3] and Birkhoff [1], two standard references, can be consulted for further details and bibliography.

A difficulty with existing formulations and proofs of the pi theorem is that there appears to be a dependence on "physical" terminology which is not explained precisely. This tends to obscure the mathematical content of the theorem. No clear distinction is made between the mathematical content and the parts which serve to relate the mathematical result to the physical world.

We propose in this note to give a careful formulation and proof of the pi theorem. In Section 2 we present an algorithm which is an effective procedure for reducing a dimensionally homogeneous physical law involving dimensional quantities $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$ to an equivalent law involving a (smaller) number of dimensionless variables $\Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}$. The algorithm is clear and easy to apply, and we illustrate it by an example. Then in Section 3 we formulate the abstract mathematical version of the pi theorem in a way that involves no discussion of dimension, physical quantities, etc. Our formulation, which is new, distinguishes between dimensional quantities and their real, numerical values. Bridgman emphasizes the desirability of such a distinction; however, neither he nor Birkhoff thoroughly accomplish this. In addition, we formulate precisely, in terms of linear algebra concepts, what we mean by a physical law. Although our definition coincides with that of Birkhoff, or more recently Evans [5], in meaning, it differs considerably in spirit, expression, and structure.

## 2. THE ALGORITHM

The following formulation and algorithm can essentially be found in Birkhoff [1]. We have included it for motivation for our abstract version of the pi theorem, and we have lengthened his proof in order to clarify some difficult points. Here we shall speak in physical terms and not distinguish between dimensionless quantities and the numerical values which these quantities assume.

First we consider a "physical law" $f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0$ relating dimensional quantities $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$. For example, in Taylor's blast wave problem these quantities are $t, r, E, \rho_{0}$, and $\gamma$. Presently, the only assumption concerning $f$ is that it is defined for $Q_{i}>0$, and it gives a single, well-defined relation among $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$. Later we shall require an additional assumption.

The dimensions of a dimensional quantity $Q_{i}$ can be written in a natural way in terms of certain fundamental dimensions $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$, appropriate to the problem being studied. For instance, in the blast wave problem, time $T$, length $L$, and mass $M$ are the fundamental dimensions and the dimensions of each quantity can be expressed in terms of $T, L$, and $M$; thus the dimensions of energy $E$ are $M L^{2} T^{-2}$. In general, we make the following definition.

Definition 1. The dimension of each dimensional quantity $Q$, expressed as a monomial with real exponents in the $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$, is called the dimension monomial of $Q$ and denoted [Q]. Thus [Q] $=q_{1}^{r_{1}} q_{2}^{r_{2}} \cdots q_{n}^{r_{n}}$ for some choice of
$r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$. We say $Q$ is dimensionless if $[Q]=q_{1}^{0} q_{2}^{0} \cdots q_{n}^{0}$, and we write $[Q]=1$.

We multiply monomials in the usual way,

$$
\left(q_{1}^{r_{1}} q_{2}^{r_{2}} \cdots q_{n}^{r_{n}}\right)\left(q_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots q_{n}^{s_{n}}\right)=q_{1}^{r_{1}+s_{1}} q_{2}^{r_{2}+s_{2}} \cdots q_{n}^{r_{n}+s_{n}}
$$

Then the basic property of the correspondence $Q \rightarrow[Q]$ can be stated as $\left[Q_{1} Q_{2}\right]=\left[Q_{1}\right]\left[Q_{2}\right]$.

We now present an algorithm for effectively determining the dimensionless quantities which can be formed among $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$. For each of the dimensional quantities $Q$, we have

$$
\left[Q_{i}\right]=q_{1}^{a_{1 i}} q_{2}^{a_{2 i} \cdots q_{n}^{a_{n i}}}
$$

The powers in the dimension-monomial define a matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$, called the dimension matrix. Then, a quantity $Q$ formed from $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$ by

$$
Q=Q_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} Q_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots Q_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}
$$

is dimensionless if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \alpha=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right]^{T}$, and 0 is the zero vector. Letting $a_{i}$ denote the $j$ th column of $A$, we see that (5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} a_{1}+\alpha_{2} a_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{m} a_{m}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A$ have rank $r$. We may reorder the $Q_{i}$ so that the columns $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ are linearly independent. Then $a_{r+1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ are linear combinations of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$, and we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=c_{k 1} a_{1}+\cdots+c_{k r} a_{r}, \quad k=r+1, \ldots, m \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, define $\Pi_{k}$ for $k>r$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{k}=Q_{1}^{-c_{k 1}} Q_{2}^{-c_{k 2}} \cdots Q_{r}^{-c_{k}} Q_{k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $\Pi_{k}$ is dimensionless, since the vector

$$
\alpha_{k}=\left[-c_{k 1}, \ldots,-c_{k r}, 0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0\right]^{T}
$$

( 1 is in position $k$ ) satisfies (6) by (7). Consequently, among the $m$ dimensional quantities $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$, we have shown that $m-r$ dimensionless quantities can be formed, where $r=\operatorname{rank} A$.

We now show, under certain assumptions, that the physical law $f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0$ is equivalent to a physical law written only in terms of the dimensionless quantities $\Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}$.

Let $R_{+}^{m}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in R^{m} \mid\right.$ each $\left.x_{i}>0\right\}$. Having defined the $\Pi_{k}$ in (8), we now define a transformation $\Phi: R_{+}^{m} \rightarrow R_{+}^{m}$ by $\Phi\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=$ $\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}, \Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)$. Clearly $\Phi$ is one to one and onto. Let $\lambda=$ $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in R_{+}^{n}$, and let $L$ be a dimensional quantity with $[L]=q_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots q_{n}^{b_{n}}$. Define $S_{\lambda}(L)=\lambda_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{n}^{b_{n}} L$. We regard the $\lambda_{i}$ as dimensionless (in practice they are just conversion factors), so that $\left[S_{\lambda}(L)\right]=[L]$.

Now, consider the physical law

$$
f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0
$$

For each $\lambda \in R^{n}$ define a new law

$$
\left(S_{\lambda} f\right)\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0
$$

where

$$
\left(\mathrm{S}_{\lambda} f\right)\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\lambda}\left(Q_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathrm{S}_{\lambda}\left(Q_{m}\right)\right)
$$

Definition 2. The law $f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0$ is unit free if for all $\lambda$ the laws $f=0$ and $S_{\lambda}(f)=0$ are equivalent, i.e., $f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0$ if, and only if, $\left(S_{\lambda} f\right)\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0$.

This is a reasonable definition. $S_{\lambda}(Q)$ is just $Q$ " measured in different units," so it expresses the fact that a physical law should not depend on the units to express the various quantities. Given $f: R_{+}^{m} \rightarrow R$, let $g=f \circ \Phi^{-1}: R_{+}^{m}$ $\rightarrow R$. So $f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0$ if, and only if, $g\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}, \Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)=0$. Thus, beginning with the law $f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0$, we can construct the law $g\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}, \Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)=0$ which is, in an obvious sense, an equivalent law.

Having constructed dimensionless quantities and now an equivalent physical law, we prove the following:

Lemma 1. If the law $f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0$ is unit free, so is the law $g\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}, \Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)=0$.

Proof. Let us pick a $\lambda$. We must show that $g\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}, \Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)$ $=0$ if and only if $g\left(S_{\lambda}\left(Q_{1}\right), \ldots, S_{\lambda}\left(\Pi_{m}\right)\right)=0$. We know $g\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)=$ $f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)$. If we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(S_{\lambda}\left(Q_{1}\right), \ldots, S_{\lambda}\left(\Pi_{m}\right)\right)=f\left(S_{\lambda}\left(Q_{1}\right), \ldots, S_{\lambda}\left(Q_{m}\right)\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we shall be done, since $f=0$ is assumed unit free. But one can easily check that for $k=r+1, \ldots, m$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda}\left(\Pi_{k}\right)=\Pi_{k}=S_{\lambda}\left(Q_{1}\right)^{-c_{k 1}} \cdots S_{\lambda}\left(Q_{r}\right)^{-c_{k r}} S_{\lambda}\left(Q_{k}\right), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (9) is immediate from (10). This proves Lemma 1.
In the next lemma we show that the physical law $f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0$ is equivalent to an equation relating only the dimensionless variables. This lemma is the content of the pi theorem.

Lemma 2. If the law $f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0$ is unit free, then it is equivalent to a law of the form $\phi\left(\Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)=0$.

Proof. Given $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}$, there is a $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda}\left(Q_{i}\right)=1, \quad i=1, \ldots, r . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is because (9) states

$$
\lambda_{1}^{u_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{n}^{u_{n}} Q_{j}=1, \quad j=1, \ldots, r
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
a_{1 i} \ln \lambda_{1}+\cdots+a_{n j} \ln \lambda_{n}+\ln Q_{i}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, r .
$$

The fact that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$ are linearly independent implies that the system

$$
a_{1 i} z_{1}+\cdots+a_{n i} z_{n}=-\ln Q_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, r,
$$

has solutions $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$. Therefore, if we set $\lambda_{i}=e^{z_{i}}$, then (11) is satisfied. But then $g\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}, \Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)=0$ if, and only if,
$g\left(1, \ldots, 1, \Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, I_{m}\right)=0$. Thus, if we define

$$
\phi\left(\Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)=g\left(1, \ldots, 1, \Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)
$$

then the law $f\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)=0$ is equivalent to the law $\phi\left(\Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)=0$. This completes the proof.

Example (Falling body). We now work through the procedure for a specific example. The usual law governing how far an object falls (neglecting air resistance) is

$$
x=\frac{1}{2} g t^{2}
$$

We write $Q_{1}=t, Q_{2}=x, Q_{3}=g$. The law is

$$
f\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}\right)=Q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} Q_{3} Q_{1}^{2}=0 .
$$

We have fundamental dimensions

$$
q_{1}=\text { time }=T, \quad q_{2}=\text { length }=L
$$

Then we have the $2 \times 3$ matrix

$$
\left(a_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

We have chosen the notation so that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

is nonsingular. Since $a_{3}=-2 a_{1}+a_{2}$, we define

$$
\Pi_{3}=Q_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{-1} Q_{3}=\frac{t^{2} g}{x}
$$

The equivalent law, $g\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \Pi_{3}\right)=0$, is given by $g\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \Pi_{3}\right)=Q_{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}\left(\Pi_{3} Q_{2} Q_{1}^{-2}\right) Q_{1}^{2}=0$. This is equivalent to the law $g\left(1, \mathrm{l}, \Pi_{3}\right)=1-\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{3}=$ 0 . We remark that in practice the pi theorem is not usually used to reduce
known laws to dimensionless form but rather to obtain the form of an unknown law in terms of dimensionless variables, much the same as was indicated by the hlast wave example in Section 1. The above algorithm clearly gives an explicit method for calculating all the dimensionless quantities in a given problem.

## 3. THE PI THEOREM

In this section we give a rigorous, abstract theorem which reveals the mathematical content of the previous section. We include a series of remarks intended to help the reader relate this section to Section 2.

Let $V$ be an $m$-dimensional real vector space and $T: V \rightarrow R^{n}$ a linear transformation of rank $r$. Let $F$ be the set of all ordered bases (frames) of $V$.

Remark A. $V$ is the vector space of "quantities" for the problem at hand. If $Q$ is a quantity in $V$, then $T(Q)=\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$ corresponds to the dimension monomial of Section 2,

$$
\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right) \leftrightarrow q_{1}^{\delta_{1}} q_{2}^{\delta_{2}} \cdots q_{n}^{\delta_{n}} .
$$

The assumption that $T$ is linear corresponds to the property of dimensions that $\left[Q_{1} Q_{2}\right]=\left[Q_{1}\right]\left[Q_{2}\right]$ and that $\left[Q^{\beta}\right]=[Q]^{\beta}$. The usual product $Q_{1} Q_{2}$ is expressed in the vector space $V$ as $Q_{1}+Q_{2}$, and $Q^{c}$ is expressed as $c Q$. A frame in $F$ is a choice of independent quantities $\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)$. The linear combination $\alpha_{1} Q_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{m} Q_{m}$ corresponds to $Q_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots Q_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}$. We note that a dimensionless quantity $Q$ is one for which $T(Q)=0$.

For each choice of a frame $e=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right)$ we define an action ${ }^{*} e$ of the additive group $R^{n}$ on $R_{+}^{m}$ by

$$
\lambda_{e}^{*} v=\left(e^{\lambda \cdot T e_{1}} v_{1}, \ldots, e^{\lambda \cdot T e_{m}} v_{m}\right) .
$$

Let $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ be a nonsingular $m \times m$ matrix. Then we define $\tau_{B}: R_{+}^{m} \rightarrow R_{+}^{m}$ by $\tau_{B}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)=\left(v_{1}^{b_{11}} v_{2}^{b_{21}} \cdots v_{m}^{b_{m 1}}, \ldots, v_{1}^{b_{1 m}} v_{2}^{b_{2 m}} \cdots v_{m}^{b_{m m}}\right)$. Then $\tau_{B}$ is invertible and $\tau_{B}^{-1}=\tau_{B^{-1}}$.

Defintion 2. A law $L$ on $V$ compatible with $T$ is an assignment, to each frame, $e$, of a nonempty set $L_{e} \subset R_{+}^{m}$ such that
(i) $R^{n}{ }_{e} L_{e}=L_{e}$ for all $e \in F$,
(ii) If $e, \bar{e} \in F$ with $\bar{e}_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i j} e_{i}$, then $L_{\bar{e}}=\tau_{B}\left(L_{e}\right)$.

Remark B. The choice of frame $e$ corresponds to a choice of independent quantities $\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)$ to describe the law. A point $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ in $L_{e}$ is interpreted as a set of values for the quantities $\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)$, respectively. Requirement (i) of the above definition corresponds to the intuitive idea that changes of units change the numbers $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$, but the new values still "obey the law." Thus (i) is the requirement that the law be unit free (see Definition 2). A different choice of quantities, say ( $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$ ), corresponds to a new frame $\bar{e}$, and property (ii) specifies which values of the new quantities obey the law.

Theorem (Pi theorem). Let L be a law on V compatible with T. Then there exist frames e such that $T\left(e_{k}\right)=0$ for $k=r+1, \ldots, m$, and for any such frame we have $L_{e}=R_{+}^{r} \times \tilde{L}_{e}$ for some $\tilde{L}_{e} \subset R_{+}^{m-r}$.

Remark C. Let $\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}, \Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)$ be the quantities making up a frame $e$, as in the theorem. Then, since $T\left(\Pi_{k}\right)=0$ for $k=r+1, \ldots, m$, we see the $\Pi_{k}$ are dimensionless. The conclusion $L_{e}=R_{+}^{r} \times \tilde{L}_{e}$ means that in order to obey the law, the values of $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}$ are unrestricted while the values of $\left(\Pi_{r+1}, \ldots, \Pi_{m}\right)$ must lie in a subset of $R_{+}^{m-r}$. We say the law is a relationship among the $\Pi_{k}$ 's.

Proof of the pi theorem. The transformation $T$ has rank $r$, as there exist frames $e=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right)$ such that $e_{r+1}, \ldots, e_{m}$ lie in ker $T$ and $T e_{1}, \ldots, T e_{r}$ are linearly independent. Given real numbers $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$, we can find $\lambda \in R^{n}$ such that $\lambda \cdot T e_{i}=y_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$. This is a simple consequence of the linear independence of $T e_{1}, \ldots, T e_{r}$. Now we have $\lambda_{e}^{*} v=$ $\left(e^{\lambda \cdot T e_{1}} v_{1}, \ldots, e^{\lambda \cdot T e_{r}} v_{r}, v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)$ for all $v \in R_{+}^{m}$. Now define $\tilde{L}_{e}=$ $\left\{\left(z_{r+1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right) \mid\left(1, \ldots, 1, z_{r+1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right) \in L_{e}\right\}$. Suppose $v \in L_{e}$. Choose $\lambda$ so that $e^{\lambda \cdot T e_{i}}=1 / v_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Then $\lambda_{e}^{*} v=\left(1, \ldots, 1, v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right) \in L_{e}$. Therefore $L_{e} \subset R_{+}^{r} \times \tilde{L}_{e}$. Conversely let $v \in R_{+}^{r} \times \tilde{L_{e}}$. Then $(1, \ldots, 1$, $v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ ) is in $L_{e}$. Choose $\lambda$ so that $e^{\lambda \cdot T e_{i}}=v_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$. Then $\lambda_{e}^{*}\left(1, \ldots, 1, v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)=v$, which belongs to $L_{e}$. This completes the proof.

In conclusion, we have presented a theorem which reveals the underlying mathematical content of the classical pi theorem. Although this formulation does not yield new examples of application of the theorem, it does give insight into its linear, algebraic structure; in this context, the proof is nearly transparent.
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