

Educational Philosophy and Theory



Incorporating ACCESS

ISSN: 0013-1857 (Print) 1469-5812 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/rept20

Obituary: John Deely (April 26, 1942–January 7, 2017)

Inna Semetsky

To cite this article: Inna Semetsky (2017) Obituary: John Deely (April 26, 1942–January 7, 2017), Educational Philosophy and Theory, 49:3, 306-307, DOI: <u>10.1080/00131857.2017.1284331</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2017.1284331





OBITUARY

John Deely (April 26, 1942-January 7, 2017)

A new volume *Edusemiotics—A Handbook* (Springer, 2017) has the following to say on its dedication page:

This book is dedicated to the great John Deely, a dear friend and colleague whose research in the theory and history of semiotics is unsurpassable. His work is a lasting inspiration for generations of students to come, in philosophy, semiotics, and now edusemiotics.

Little did I know about the timeliness of these words. On 7 January 2017, John Deely passed away. It is with a great sadness that I write this obituary. At the time of his death, John was a Professor of Philosophy at Saint Vincent College and Seminary in Latrobe, Pennsylvania, USA. I met him somewhere in the mid-1990s, even before I became a graduate student at Columbia University, and one of the first things written by him that I read was his paper in Semiotics 1998—the Proceedings of the Twenty-Third Annual Meetings of the Semiotic Society of America, 15–18 October 1998, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada. He talked about physiosemiosis—the action of signs in the material world, even beyond living things, such as the words in a book before someone opens the book and begins to read it. Over and above being just physical marks, the words in a closed book are signs, John said, signs-vehicles, bearers of potential meaning even without them being actually read. What about those things that are not human-made cultural artifacts, but natural things such as rocks and trees and the like—are they signs as well? Yes, they are, even if not actually but virtually: as Charles Sanders Peirce said, the world is perfused with signs if not composed exclusively by them. Signs as such are suprasubjective beings that achieve their highest actuality in human thought and language. But nature also speaks a language: we just need to be able to interpret it. Human experience is a dynamic network of signs that grow in meaning, 'evolve'.

John's systematic research in semiotics and semiosis began in 1968 when he met outstanding American semiotician and polymath Thomas Sebeok. Deely was secretary of the committee drafting the constitution for the 1975 founding of the Semiotic Society of America (SSA). He functioned as the Executive Director of the society in 2006–2007. Deely's 1981 essay 'The relation of logic to semiotics' won the first Mouton D'or Award for Best Essay in the Field in the Calendar Year. Deely's 1990 book *Basics of Semiotics* remains an invaluable resource for scholars in semiotics, philosophy and, yes, educational theory, and has been republished in six expanded editions across nine languages. Among Deely's numerous publications are: *Intentionality and Semiotics* (Scranton: 2007); *Descartes & Poinsot: The Crossroads of Signs and Ideas* (Scranton: 2008); *Semiotics Seen Synchronically: the View from 2010* (LEGAS: 2010); *Medieval Philosophy Redefined: The Development of Cenoscopic Science, AD354 to 1644 (From the Birth of Augustine to the Death of Poinsot*) (University of Scranton: 2010); *Purely Objective Reality* (De Gruyter Mouton: 2011). I especially love his 1000-plus-page tome *Four Ages of Understanding*, from which I continue to learn, and I take pride in the signature he placed in this book for me back in 2001.

John was a friend, even if we met mostly at the SSA meetings that, to my regret, I was able to attend rather irregularly. The last time we met was at the 12th World Congress of The International Association for Semiotic Studies (IASS) 'New Semiotics: Between Tradition and Innovation' at the New Bulgarian University in Sofia on 16–20 September 2014. It was the year edusemiotics was launched, the new field that brought together semiotics and philosophy of education, and it was there that the panel on edusemiotics, that included such presenters as our colleagues in educational theory Andrew Stables



and Alin Olteanu, took place. John's scholarship inspired the creation of edusemiotics and continues to inform current research and development in this new area: he was the first author on the recent article 'Semiotics, edusemiotics and the culture of education', published in *EPAT* in 2016. The brief lines of John's bionote that appeared in this paper are too sketchy to do any justice to him:

John Deely is Philosopher-in-Residence at St. Vincent College, PA, USA. He holds the Rudman Chair in Philosophy at the University of St. Thomas, Houston, Texas. Among his numerous volumes are Four Ages of Understanding: The First Postmodern Survey of Philosophy from Ancient Times to the Turn of the Twenty-First Century; and Purely Objective Reality. He is the Editor of The American Journal of Semiotics. He served two terms as Vice-President of the International Association for Semiotic Studies (IASS).

Surpassing John's academic credentials, though, is his record as the kindest human being, eager to share not only his incredible knowledge but his deepest emotions, such as during one of the most tragic events that could take place in human life—the untimely death of his only son. John, you are with him now. Rest in peace, dear John. Together with all who knew you, I mourn your death. I am forever grateful for the chance in life that allowed us to meet, a chance brought about by the action of signs as dynamic relations.

... If there is one notion that is central to the emerging postmodern consciousness, that notion is the notion of sign ... On one side stand those who think that the general notion of sign is an empty name, a *flatus vocis*, a nominalism ... On the other side are those who are able to ground the general notion in an understanding of relation as a unique, suprasubjective mode of being, a veritable dual citizen of the order of *ens reale* and *ens rationis* alike, according to shifting circumstances.

Modern philosophy, from this point of view, appears essentially as an exploration of the nominalist alternative; and postmodern thought begins with the acknowledgment of the bankruptcy of the modern effort, combined with the determination pioneered by C. S. Peirce to explore the alternative ...

So derives the title for this work, Four Ages of Understanding: ancient Greek thought, the Latin Age, modern thought, postmodern thought. The book is a survey of philosophy in what is relevant to the 'understanding of understanding' from ancient times to the present. It is intended both as a reference work in the history of philosophy and a guide to future research—a 'handbook for inquirers' in history, philosophy, and the humanities generally ...

John Deely (2001)
From Four Ages of Understanding: The First Postmodern Survey of Philosophy from Ancient Times to the Turn of the
Twenty-First Century, pp. XXX–XXXI
Toronto: University of Toronto Press

Inna Semetsky
Institute for Edusemiotic Studies, Melbourne

irs5@columbia.edu