The Science of Mobile Being (part 2) Regina Cœli Academy Natural Philosophy – Physics Lecturer: Mr. Alan Aversa			O Creator ineffable, who of the riches of Thy wisdom didst appoint three hierarchies of Angels and didst set them in wondrous order over the highest heavens, and who didst apportion the elements of the world most wisely: do Thou, who art in truth the fountain of light and wisdom, deign to shed upon the darkness of my understanding the rays of Thine infinite brightness, and remove far from me the twofold darkness in which I was born, namely, sin and ignorance. Do Thou, who givest speech to the tongues of little children, instruct my tongue and pour into my lips the grace of Thy benediction. Give me keenness of apprehension, capacity for remembering, method and ease in learning, insight in interpretation, and copious eloquence in speech. Instruct my beginning, direct my progress, and set Thy seal upon the finished work, Thou, who art true God and true Man, who livest and reignest world without end. Amen.			
02/01/12	A.M.D.G.	1	02/01/12	(St. Thomas Aquinas <i>Oratio ante studiui</i> A.M.D.G.	n) 2	
Empiriological physics does not attain being.			Empiriological physics does not attain motion.			
<ul> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Law of Thermodynamics</li> <li>Many equivalent formulations of it; here are two examples: <ul> <li>Heat cannot pass from a cold to a hot body.</li> <li>Things in nature do not spontaneously become more ordered without an input of work.</li> <li>The parts of a broken glass do not spontaneously reassemble into a whole glass.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Does it prove causality or presuppose it?</li> <li>Law of Inertia</li> <li>Does it show that moving things tend to be constantly in flux (á la Heraclitus) or that change is an illusion (á la Parmenides)?</li> <li>Viz., does this the law of inertia imply empiriological physics studies <i>ens mobile</i> like philosophical physics?</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Zeno argued motion is impossible <ul> <li>Diogenes disproved this simply by walking!</li> </ul> </li> <li>Henri Poincaré and Bertrand Russell, e.g., thought that empiriological physics is the description of the world via differential equations.</li> <li>In other words: calculus! <ul> <li>Newton, in his Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica, &amp; Leibniz co-invented</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
02/01/12	A.M.D.G.	3	02/01/12	A.M.D.G.	4	

## Empiriological physics does not attain motion.

- Functions relate one quantity to another. E.g.:
  - Y = F(x)
    - F is a function.
    - x is the independent variable.
    - Y is the dependent variable.
  - They in no way imply one quantity causes another
    - ∵ correlation doesn't necessarily imply causation.

## Empiriological physics does not attain motion.

- Concept of a *limit* is very important in calculus.
- V. E. Smith's definition of *limit*: "y is a limit of x if, when x increases indefinitely, the difference between y and x gradually becomes smaller than any assignable value."
- Examples:
  - If # of sides of a regular polygon  $\to \infty,$  then the limit of the polygon is a circle.
  - If the function N(t) is how much radioactive material you have at time t, limit of N(t) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  is 0.

5

02/01/12

#### Empiriological physics does not Empiriological physics does not attain motion. attain motion. • Archimedes computed $\pi$ to be the limit of the • Let *y* be a function of *x*, so y = f(x). areas of polygons with increasing numbers of • $\Delta x = a$ small change in x. sides. • $\Delta y = a$ small change in y. π is the ratio of any circle's circumference to its • Calculus measures the *limit* of this ratio: diameter. π ~ 3.1415926.... • $(\Delta y / \Delta x)$ as $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$ . • This limit is the *derivative of f with respect to x*. · Equations with derivatives are called differential equations. 02/01/12 02/01/12 A.M.D.G A.M.D.G 8 Empiriological physics does not Empiriological physics does not attain motion. attain motion. • Let *y* be a function of *x*, so y = f(x). · Derivatives tell about instantaneous rates of change of one quantity with respect to another. • $\Delta x = a$ small change in x. • "But quantity is not motion; change is not a ratio or

- $\Delta y = a$  small change in y.
- Calculus measures the limit of this ratio:
  - $(\Delta y / \Delta x)$  as  $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$ .
  - This limit is the *derivative of f with respect to x*.
- Equations with derivatives are called differential equations.

02/01/1	2
02/01/1	-

time.

motion.

being and motion.

AMDG

Philosophical physics does not

suppose the empiriological.

Philosophical physics studies what follows from

· Empiriological physics evolves rapidly over

Empiriological physics ignores being and

Motion is given in experience.

- Parmenides thought motion is an illusion.
- Heraclitus thought everything was in flux.
- St. Thomas says in his First Way of proving God's existence: "It is certain, and evident to our senses, that in the world some things are in motion."
- Distinction: *mutatio* (change proper) and *motus* (continuous, successive change)
  - · Will be important later.

• It assumes whatever is meaningful is measurable.

 Being cannot be measured because there is nothing outside of being to which to compare it.

11

02/01/12

9

02/01/12

A.M.D.G

10

# MOTION AND ITS PRINCIPLES:

- relation."
  - It isn't a succession of events

therefore apart from movement."

- or potency becoming act insofar as it is in potency.
- Remember: Mathematics is the 2<sup>nd</sup> degree of abstraction.

Boethius: "Mathematics does not deal with motion...for

it investigates forms of bodies apart from matter...and

AMDG

Motion is not deducible.		Motion is determined by act and potency.			
<ul> <li>Aristotle: motion is known by induction.</li> <li>Can we deduce motion from something more known? Is anything more known in nature than motion? <ul> <li>Can the more evident be proven by the less evident?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Motion cannot be measured because motion is not quantity.</li> <li>The static can only be known in reference to the moving.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>How is motion defined?</li> <li>It's definition more difficult than its discovery.</li> <li>Parmenides &amp; Zeno (Eleatics) versus Heraclitus <ul> <li>We will discuss Zeno's argument later because it deals with infinity.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
02/01/12	A.M.D.G.	13	02/01/12	A.M.D.G.	14

Motion is determined by act and potency.	Motion is determined by act and potency.			
<ul> <li>Parmenides argued motion is impossible, an illusion:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Heraclitus denied being and thought everything is in motion.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Being is; non-being is not.</li> <li>There cannot be anything between being and non-being, and motion is the passage from being to non-being or <i>vice versa</i>.</li> <li>Nothing can come from being because it already is, and nothing can come from nothing, either: <i>ex nihilo nihil fit</i>.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fire represented something always changing.</li> <li>So did the flow of time.</li> <li>E.g.: No man can swim in the same stream twice.</li> <li>Aristotle reconciled Parmenides's and Heraclitus's views.</li> <li>Act = existence</li> </ul>			
Therefore, motion is impossible.	<ul> <li>Potency = capacity to be actual</li> </ul>			
02/01/12 A.M.D.G. 15	02/01/12 A.M.D.G. 16			

### References

- V. E. Smith's Philosophical Physics
  - Please read finish ch. 1 (The Science of Mobile Being) and begin ch. 2 (Motion and Its Principles).
    - We will send out a scanned PDF of this necessary reading.
- Next time we will see how motion is a mixture of act and potency.

17