the writings of the Fathers of the Church. Christian tradition, large measure, is enshrined in their works. But here rises the preplexing question which Fathers to read. In so vast a library of precious books, it is difficult to decide which to choose. Bishop Heler recommends as most stimulating and satisfying the writings of St. Augustine, St. Ambrose, and St. Bernard.

Probably the most valuable and edifying book after the Sand Scriptures and the works of the Fathers is the Imitation of Child It contains enlightenment and inspiration for every condition of and every state of mind. It is an unfailing source of consolation and encouragement. The author, toward the end of his life, wrote, "I have sought for rest everywhere, but I have not found it, except as little corner, with a little book." He was not, of course, speaking a his own work, but others who have sought in vain elsewhere may find peace and comfort in that wonderful little book, the Initian

After naming the Sacred Scriptures, the writings of the Fathers, and the Imilation of Christ, it does not seem advisable to proceed farmer with a list of spiritual reading books for which a claim might be sure that they are objectively the best. Tanquerey in The Spiritue in gives a valuable list, which includes the more important sector works from the Patristic age to our own day. And P. Poerset Christian Spirituality affords a complete survey of the field of section

In this connexion it is worth noting that every religious order be or in time produces, classic works which breathe the spirit of the and and best express its genius, principles, and peculiar trachings. Its most appropriate that the members of an order have a special age for such works.

The disposition of the recipient of actual grace is of the stand importance for its efficacy and fruitfulness. And since spiritual ing is so frequently the occasion of grace and so closely connected it, the disposition and attitude one has toward spiritual radius likewise of paramount importance. There must be a realization of beed of habitual and regular spiritual reading. Every print as a a need. Spiritual knowledge acquired in the past must be top and and growing by continued and frequent reading if it is to be read Atchison, Konsor

BONAVENTUER SCHWINK, OSE

The recent Encyclical Mystici Corporis has naturally aroused a gent deal of interest in the teaching about Christ's Mystical Body. One curious by-product of that interest has been the frequently reposted assertion that the school theology since the Middle Ages has in more way neglected to consider the Church as the Body of Jesus Christ. That is a serious charge. It deserves attention.

The implication seems to be that the writers of theological works and in seminaries and universities since the Middle Ages have failed being out the truths presented in the dogmatic portion of the Munic Corports. If the accusation has any legitimate foundation den the Encyclical should contain a teaching utterly alien to the literater of school theology from the middle of the fifteenth century until that the beginning of the twentieth. The analysis of the Mystici Corporist text will show whether the charge is justified of not.

The deguastic section of the Mystici Corporis is divided into two parts.<sup>2</sup> In the first part the Holy Father describes the Church as the Mystical Body of Christ. In the second he tells about the union a the faithful with our Lord.

Pope Pius XII begins his first section by telling why the Catholic Couch a aptly described as a *body*. He informs us that the Church atau described because it is visible and organized, processing a visible of initiation, visible sacramental worship and visible members. It called the body of Christ because our Lord is at once its founder, and and its support. The term Mynical Body of Christ is applied a the Church since it is distinct from our Lord's physical body and the same time superior to an ordinary society or moral body in that a bas a principle of unity absolutely independent of and superior to be same time.

In the second section of the dogmatic part, the Mystici Corporation of the two types of bonds or communications by which men are used to Christ within the Church. Those men who are united to a Lord by professing His faith, being subject to the legitimate stand rulers He has set over His sheepfold, and particling in the bolight worship which He instituted, are said to be joined in bodily which communication with Christ. The second type of com-

10. So cordinat outline appended to Father Joseph Blastre edition of the Some Convoir (New York, The America Franc, 1943), pp. 54-57.

214

munication is spiritual and invisible. It consists in the three there ical virtues of faith, hope and charity. Our union with Christs perfected by God the Holy Ghost dwelling within us. It is express in the Eucharistic sacrifice, which is pre-eminently the Act of the Mystical Body.

In the light of the actual text of the Mystici Corports the the made against the school theology would seem to be groundless. If various elements which are brought together in the Encyclical's are matic section have all been considered in the standard literature's sacred theology since the Middle Ages. Moreover, several of the theology since the controversies against the early Protestants. There is certainly no ground for saying that the thesis on the rebility of the Catholic Church has been neglected since the Middle Ages. These conclusions received their scientific development of the hands of the Controversialists. Cardinal Stanislans Hathe hands of the Controversialists. Cardinal Stanislans Hat (1579) felt called upon to refute the objections of Brentius by Provide that our Lord Himself, and not Peter Soto (1563), was ultimated responsible for this thesis.<sup>3</sup>

Although earlier theologians commonly tanght that our Lord and the Founder of the Church, this portion of theology did not been have saything like its present theological development until and the end of the seventeenth century. The post-mediacval school theologians dealt with our Lord's function as the head and the support of the Mystical Body, not only in the treatise De Ecclesia Christian also in valuous parts of the section De Verbo Incornate. The costs of the Church as the Mystical Body was never absent from the siles theology. It is found quite well developed in the Summa de Backs of the Candinal John de Turretremata (1468), one of the first 25 theologians after the Middle Ages. It was the turning point of the most important controversies in exclusiology from his time to and the The teaching on the double bond of union with Christ within the Catholic Church was developed by Catholic controversialists school theologians from John Drieds (1535) and James Lason (1546) to St. Robert Bellamane (1621). The doctrine on the dwelling of the Holy Chost was found in treatises De Mission Divinis. The vatious tracts Dr Rackovicke, De Sacrificie and De Sacardatic brought out the truth that the Mass is the Act of the Mystical Body.

CI. Confutatio Prologomenon Brancis, Lilo. 111. In the Open Daniel, Column 1594, Vol. I, p. 535; also op. cit., Lilo. 11, p. 694.

There is not one dogmatic element in the Mystici Corporis neglected or overlooked in the standard literature of school theology since the Middle Ages. Obviously not every author taught every point. Again, there were various individual writers and teachers who presented elements of the Mystical Body doctrine imperfectly and incompletely. The charge however is levelled at school theology as such, and that charge cannot be sustained.

Still, it is one thing to say that the older school theologians did not neglect the theology of the Mystical Body and quite another to deny that the *Mystici Corporis* and the various competent theological tratises on this same subject in our own time represent a definite progress in theological science. Modern theologians such as Mura, Tromp and Gruden have advanced the work of sacred theology considerably by writing their treatises on the Mystical Body. They have performed a work which previous theologians had left undone, not because the older writers failed to consider the teaching, but simply and solely because the science was not far enough advanced in previous times for the sort of work these recent theologians have accomplished.

What Pope Pius has done, and what the modern school theologians of the Mystical Body have done, is to bring together from every part of theology the various theses which will help men to appreciate the inflable truth of the Catholic Church's union with our Lord. In doing this they acted in accordance with the principle laid down by the Constitution Dei Filius of the Vatican Council, which taught that man might obtain from God a certain understanding, and a most faithel understanding, of the divine mysteries through the use of taalogy with things known naturally and by a comparison of the systerics among themselves and with the last end of man.<sup>3</sup> The tweatieth century theologians of the Mystical Body have simply strived at a more perfect presentation of their doctrine by bringing together elements which are explained in many parts of sacred doctrine.

The writers of school theology from the fifteen century to the nineteach are not guilty of neglecting the teaching on the Mystical Body simply because this section of sacred doctrine has been developed in our own times. They knew and explained the theology of the Mystical Body even though they did not write the complete twentieth century Body even though they did not write the Catholic Church is the Mystical Spe of treatise on this subject. The Catholic Church is the Mystical Body of Christ, and the older school theologians were quite well aware at the fact. The theology of the Mystical Body is that portion of Sacred doctrine in which we find the scientific exposition of the revealed

\*G. DB 1796.

message about the connection of the Catholic Church with our Lot. The school theologians knew and taught the theology of the Mysical Body. A complete theological treatise on the Mystical Body is a in which all the theological elements pertinent to the Church's use with our Lord are brought together and compared, for the sale is still more perfect and profound understanding of the mystery. The complete theological treatise on the Mystical Body is one of the glories of our own day. It would be naïve in the extreme to blass earlier theologians for not having done what has been distinctives a twentieth century work.

The theses which have formed the school theology on the Catholic Church since the first part of the eighteenth century were developed in scientific theological form by the classical ecclesiologists from Candinal John de Turrecremata to Francis Sylvius (1669). As a group these men devoted great attention to the teaching on the Mystical Body. Some of them, like the brilliant controversialist join Eck (1543) and Cardinal Hosius made the formula "Body of Christ" serve as a definition of the Church.<sup>4</sup> All of them joined the term "Mystical Body of Christ" to a great number of other designations all of which served as names and figures of the Catholic Church. The classical ecclesiologists used all of these names in their proofs. The term "Body of Christ" in any one of a dozen variants occupied on of the most prominent positions among these names.

These names or figures listed and used by the schoolnes were designations, both proper and metaphorical, found in the Scriptust or in the Fathers, and applied to the Catholic Church. Some of time, like Ager and Convisions were taken from our Lord's parables of the Kingdom. Others, as for example Corpus, Columna and Firmemetan are found in St. Paul's epistics. Still others, like Amica and Four cause from Old Testament passages which the Fathers applied to the Church:

In the writings of the classical ecclesiologists all of these names of figures of the Church played an important role. They were not used merely to show the affection of the writers for our Lord's Church They entered into the proof and the explanation of the various these about the Church. Thus the classical exclesiologists employed the titles Namis, Spaces and Asco is presenting the thesis Roles Roles

\*C. Honine, Conductor Contractions and a Carbon cop. 23. In the Open Series, Vol. 1, p. 39, edge Role, Reconstruction Contraction, Contraction, Version, 1574.

what solurs. The names Sageno and Area were used to show that sinant as well as righteous men were to be found in the ranks of the Church Militant. The visibility of the Church was attested in passages which spoke of it as Civitos and Mons. In each case the classical arkshologist took either the passage in Scripture referring directly to the Church or the patristic statement in which a scriptural text was appropriated to the Church and employed this statement in proposing the own thesis

There were a great many of these names. Turrecrematas explains twenty five of them and Francis Sonnius (1576)<sup>6</sup> eighteen. Thomas Stapleton (1598), Francis Suarez (1617), St. Robert Bellamine (1621) and Francis Sylvius (1649) all employ over forty of them. Each name was used to show the existence of one definite set of characteristics in the Catholic Church. The very multitude of these names tended to protect these classical theologians against the temptation to carry any single analogy to extravagant lengths. They could not easily forget that the same organization which St. Paul called the Body of Christ. had been compared by our Lord to a net in which both good and bad fates were enclosed. The Church which was called the garden enclosed was also known as the sheepfold of Christ, containing those thep over whom our Lord had set His vicar on earth. As a result we kok in vain through the writings of these classical actool theolotions for the errors relative to the Mystical Body represed in the Myshci Corparis.

Nevertheless the name Mystical Body was a visal factor in the witings of the classical theologians. In the days of the classical ecclesiologists the most important continuencies in the treatise De Rederic hinged upon various ways of interpreting the term Body of Christ. These theological differences were settled in a scholarly way, without the acceptity that marked the debaits about efficacious grace. As a result they are not as well known as the dispute between the Thunists and the Molinists, even though they contributed a great deal towards our theology of the Catholic Church. The principals in these discussions are among the best theologians in the history of wieniology.

The first of these controvenies had by do with the designation of number of the Church. The name Mentical Body of Christ Sufficates

 CL. Summer de Findenie, Youkin, 1988. 120. 1, 600. 1-1. 1, 71-63.
CL. Summer de Findenie, Editoria Christiane de Varie, Bel, Louvan, 1556, Tract. 1. COR. 1. PR. 447-551

the Church as receiving a vital influx from our Lord. The gas Dominican Cardinal John de Turrecremata considered a menter i a living part of a living organism. As a result he refused the tite of member to Catholics in the state of mortal sin. Although since a well as righteous men could belong to the Church or be parts of the Catholic Church, they had no right to the dignity and the designation of members,7

The restricted use of the term member continued for some time Theoretically St. Robert Bellarmine did not approve of it, but a practice he babitually spoke of sinful Catholics as being within the Church rather than as members of this society. Gregory of Variation (1603) rightly considered that this difference with reference to the title of member was a matter of slight importance." Adam Tana (1632)10 and Francis Sylving" finally rejected Turrecremata's tomat ology since it rested upon an unwarranted analogical use of the work member.

Like Tarrecremata and like the other classical ecclesiologists, January Latomus taught that the Mystical Body of Christ is the actualy existing Catholic Church. However the great Louvain continue stalist believed that the title Mystical Body belonged primarily to the group living the life of charity within that Church. The actually existing Catholic Church, the Ecclesia permista, possesses all of ha spiritual resources and dignities by reason of the righteous among in members. Thus, according to Latomus, the Ecclesis persiste property though not primarily designated as the Body of Child. Alphonesus a Castro (1559) drew a somewhat similar distinction is tween the names Corpus Christi and Orile Christi." St. Robert

1 Cl. Samuel de Rodenie, Lib. I., esp. 57, pp. 68 verso-69 verso. CI. Definicationes Roberts Bellarmini Politicati, Sociedatis Iera De Confectationes Roberts Bellarmini Politicati, Sociedatis Iera De Confectation Vel Christianen Paris Adierran Bains Temporis Harricos. Inguistadi, 1566, Val. 1, Questa Constantia Comercia De Concilias a Ecclesia Militanis, Liber III, Ecclesia Matternet, op. 9, col. 1250. \*Cf. Communication Theologica, 3p

Thursdays, Ingolstadt, 1603, Vol. III, Disp. I, De Card Fide, Quanta I. Pract 7, No. 16, col. 168-69.

"CL Theodogue Scholautice, Ingolatedi, 1627, Torn. III. Disp. J. De Pille Quasest. III, dash. 1, col. 135. "Cl. Lebri San de Prantipair Fidel Bastras Crededoras Contenersiis Con Filt

Berreich, Liber III, De Extense Queest, J. Art. 10. (In the Opers Onesis, Saf with, 1698, Vol. V. pp. 252-53) \* Cl. De Builente et Builente Legis (Sillignine, in the Opera, Lourain, 15<sup>55</sup>

ACL Adverses Courses Reserves Lines Overlands in the Opera, Paris, 1574 Lib. I, cap. 8, col. 54

Relamine's teaching on the unity of the Church was instrumental in tuning the school theology away from this manner of interpreting the doctrine of the Mystical Body.

The far-reaching controversy relative to the proper definition of the Church militant of the New Testament was likewise decided in the light of the name Corpus Mysticum. Some of the classical ecclesiologets, notably Suarez and Sylvius,<sup>14</sup> were convinced that an occult bereic should not be numbered among those who belong to the Catholic Church. Basing their argument upon the fact that the Catholic Church. Basing their argument upon the fact that the Cauch is the Body of Christ, they reasoned that a man who belongs to the Church should have some part of that life. Since faith is the fandamental act in the supernatural order, they concluded that the two who rejected the faith received no vital influx from Christ and bears should not be considered as a member of the Church.

Thus they insisted upon defining the Church as the society of those who actually have the divine faith, rather than as the congregation of these who profess that faith.<sup>44</sup> A good number of early school the degins used that type of definition.

Other theologians, among them St. Peter Canisius (1597) St. Robert Belamine and Gregory of Valentia, preferred to define the Church is function of the profession of faith rather than in terms of the divine faith itself. These theologians also used the concept of the Mystical Budy to substantiate their own conclusions. They distinguished two ways in which the members of the Mystical Body are connected with our Lord. They spoke of an external and an internal communication within the Church and they held that the external communication shoe was sufficient to constitute a man as a member of the Church. Thus the occult heretic, lacking the inward bonds of faith and charity, could still be numbered within the tanks of the Church Militant through his possession of the external communication.<sup>10</sup>

The Mystici Corports speaks of these two bunds of union with Christ. and describes them as St. Robert Bellarance did in his Dr Erdenie Militante. It is interesting to note that in the De Erdenis Militante

\*CI. Summer, Opaci de Triplici Vietnes Theologico, Lyone, 1021. Tract. I, Diep. R. Sectio I, no. 24, p. 162, also Sylvins, of sil. Quasest, I, art. 7, pp. 262-264. \*Starce defined the Church as "the entire congregations of faithful mera heleving in Christ." (op. cit. Sectio I, no. I, p. 156.) Sylvinos defined the Church minut of the New Testament as "the society of faithful mera ordered and united make one head, Christ and maker His View on earth, the Roman Pontif." (op. <sup>10</sup>, Quasest, I, art. 2, p. 237.)

\*CL St. Robert, op. adv. Cast. IC. onto 1206-1306; also Graphy of Valentia, \* 64. no. 14. col. 166

220

the inward and outward bonds of unity with our Lord are transm under the names of the soul and the body of the Church" Yes before St. Robert, James Latomus had fully described these two bad of unity and had designated them as the spiritual and the base communication with the Church.18 St. Robert simply too a distinction which Latomus had employed to show the effects of a communication and used that distinction to show that even and heretics might be truly within the Body which is the Church of Jee Christ, in as much as they possess a real, though external boot a unity with the head of that Church. Catholic Theology since head has accepted his argument and his definition. It has thereby approved his use of the Corpus Mysticum.

The concept of the Mystical Body enters into most of the there the De Reclarie Millionie as a proof or an explanation of St. Robert teaching. The other papers of the Church are used with it a Robert, like the other classical ecclesiologists, never permitted have to forget that the institution he was describing and defending was the asciety which St. Paul had described as Christ's Body. As a test the theses of the classical theology on the Catholic Church an one clusions formulated and Developed in the light of the Mysteri Bar concept. These were the these which entered and remained is he school theology De Backens Clearty. Whatever else it may have the that school thestopy cortainly did not neglect the doctman of the

At least use an another print which capt into the works of an sognas allow the time of St. Robert and his fellows came into section of the Body analogy rather than from say Solute to consider it. A good number of subscriptent theologies impressed by Ri Koberth me of the terms body and soul of the steht however also in the body of the terms body and soul of the steht however also include them in their own writings. Under by negligeted, the purpose for which St. Role the science are purpose for which is the Cardinate why he has contributed as societies in some way define ther bounded of which they had been in the *De Boles* term by which man were joined together in the mily a Chards Charge States Cattories and the Melitanes. Or Catholic Canets It suck well over a contains and a built to complete this twisting of St. Robert Bellandes a tracking. The process however began with 

a contemporary of the great Controversialist. In his immensely popular seminary manual, the Breviarium Theologicum, John Polman (1649) merely copied what St. Robert had set down about the soul and the body of the Church without giving any hint of the purpose for which these terms had been used.<sup>19</sup> The casual reader of Polman work bandly suspect that his terms referred to factors which had long her hown in ecclesiology as the inward and the outward bonds of any within the visible Church of Jesus Christ. A more seriously confused use of St. Robert's terminology on the

Mystical Body entered some manuals of school theology through the withings of Charles du Plessis d'Argentré (1740) and Honoratus Tourany (1729). D'Argentré, whose Elementa Theologica appeared some other Sorbonne confrere, used the concept of the Mystical Body for his fundaments. "So great is the analysis his tondamental teaching on the Church. "So great is the analogy for between the area to the church and the natural human body between the Mystical Body of the Church and the natural human body. that you can that you can easily understand the essence and the properties of the house through the latter."

D'Agentre could never be accused of neglecting the concept of the satisf Real Party of the second never be accused of neglecting the concept of the Mysical Body. However he was somewhat careless in handling his hines properly with the disc. takes, and failed to check his teachings properly with the dicts of inditional theology. He paid comparatively little attention to the other names of the Church. As a result he drew inferences quite at vanance with the Dronouncements of his predsources He was He was among the pronouncements or an interaction could be defined function of the first to suggest that the Church could be defined a function of the Church B a function of what St. Robert had named the soul of the Church, a depite the fact that St. Robert han instant had brought up the concept of the instant St. Robert himself had brought up the concept of the inward bond of unity and applied the name soul to it precisely is outer to at the inward bond of unity and applied the name soul to it precisely is other to show that it should not be an element in such a definition. Furthermore, at the bands of D'Argenter, this investigation of the bands of D'Argenter, this investigation of the bands of because the soul of the Church a basic factor in several isolay explanation is the soul of the Church a basic factor is several isolay explanation is the soul of the Church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church itself, mathematic several isolay explanation is the soul of the church isolay explanation is the soul of the the soul of the Church a pass of this souls that the Church bout the court is the court of this souls that the church boud then the used the visible Church many suffer than the extension panel of many as the co-relative of this many and taught that extends panel the died being the correlation of the many build that extends panel sho died before being received into the Church might be seved 19. 4, P. 161 04 et, p. 161,

OA cat, p. ins

Tournely listed a great number of names and figures of the Chash. In his theses however, the name Mystical Body is used more that its others. The same tendencies which appear in D'Argentré's and are manifest in his. As a matter of fact, Tournely went further asing than had his younger colleague. Where D'Argentré had suggestels definition of the Church in function of the soul, Tournely actually offered such a definition.<sup>24</sup> Furthermore Tournely was much man effective in popularizing this confusion. Hurter's Nonescient Literarius lists D'Argentré's Elements Theologics as a rare book.<sup>35</sup> Tournely's manuals were among the most popular handbooks in the history of theological education.

Where Tournely had simply offered a definition of the Church is terms of the soul, the brilliant German Jesuit Heinrich Kilber (195) made a triple definition of the Church the basis of his extended. Two of Kilber's formulae describe the Church "inadequately," or a function of the soul alone, and the other in function of the body alons. The inadequate definition in the light of the body is similar to S. Robert's definition of the Church itself. The definition which do scribed the Church "adequately" took in both the soul and the body. The famous Sorbonne theologian Louis Legrand (1780) finally define the soul of the Church as a society in some manner distinct from the visible Church itself."

Although some few school texts incorporated Legrand's tracing about the soul of the Church into their treatises *De Eccleria*, this air application of the Mystical Rody concept was never very influential among the Scholastics. Popularizers rather than proponents of the school theology employed it. The school theology as a whole one timed the theses of the classical ecclesiologists, theses constructed in the light of an accurate Mystical Rody teaching. The school theology since the middle ages prepared the way for the *Mystici Corporis*.

### Washington, D. C.

col. 25.

# JOSEPH CLIFFORD FENTOR

\* Presiscientes Finalogicos De Ecclevia Christi, Socunda editio, Paris, 1739, p. 2

 Nomenilator Liberarias, Editio tertia, Innakruck, 1910, col. 1004.
Principia Theologica. In the EX Patrone Societatis Jesu Theologia Degenilit, Polemico, Scholartica et Moralis Productionidus Publicis in Alma Universität Wirceburgensi Accommulais, Editio tertia, pp. 86-87.

" De Pectoria. Included in Migne's Theologiae Courses Completes, Vol. 17.

# Answers to Questions

## THE COLOR OF THE ANTEPENDIUM

Quantion: The Antependium—Pallium Altaris—is supposed to antispond in color to the feast of the day or the office; before the Blessed Sacrament exposed it is to be white. Now, when the altar has been properly adorned for a feast, e.g., the Exaltation of the Holy Cross, does the red antependium have to be removed for Benediction of the Blessed Sacrament during the afternoon? Or, again, what beside the color of the antipendium on the Vigil of Pentecost when the Onio notes—Alb. in Off., Viol. in Bened. Fontis, Rub. in Miss.?

denser: Our correspondent is quite correct in his general statement that the antependium should correspond in color with the office and Mass of the day. White, however, is always to be used when the Resed Sacrament is solemnly exposed, even though a different color speeched for the vestments of the Mass. Thus, if the Forty Hours' Advation opens on Pentecost Sunday, a day which excludes the Votive Mass of the Blessed Sacrament, the vestments should be red but the antependium white.

In the cases proposed, on the Feast of the Excitation of the Holy Cross, the red antependium should be replaced by a white one for Senediction in the afternoon. In any case, white is the color for the Vergers of that day, as the day following is the Feast of the Seven Senous. For the Vigil of Pentecost, authors generally (v. g. Martinici, Lis. 11, Cap. XXXI, 6) direct that the violet antependium be placed over the red one, the former to be removed just before the Mass. No attention is paid to the white color designated in the Ords for the Office, the Little Hours being recited with the altar hung with its violet antependium. (Cf. Martinucci et al.)

### WILLAN J. LALLOU.

#### HOSPITAL PROBLEMS.

(venion 1: May the authorities of a Catholic haspital penuit a level abbit to perform the the of exemption on a child in the hospital?